

Problem 1.1 a) Let X, Y be real-valued random variables with $\mathbb{E}[|Y|] < \infty$ and assume that the joint law $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ has density $\varphi_{X,Y}$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure $\lambda \otimes \lambda$ on \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e. $\mathbb{P}((X, Y) \in B) = \int_B \varphi_{X,Y}(x, y) \lambda \otimes \lambda(dx dy)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $\varphi_X(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{X,Y}(x, y) \lambda(dy)$ be the (marginal) density of X and put

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\varphi_{X,Y}(x, y)}{\varphi_X(x)} \lambda(dy) & \text{if } \varphi_X(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Show that the random variable $\psi(X)$ is a version of $\mathbb{E}[Y|X]$.

b) Let U, V be independent and uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

i) Compute $\mathbb{E}[U | \frac{U}{V}]$.

ii) Compute $\mathbb{E}[U | U - V]$.

iii)* Discuss in view of i) and ii) how the term $\mathbb{E}[U | U = V]$ could or could not be interpreted.

[Hint: Use the transformation formula to compute the joint density of $(U, U/V)$ and of $(U, U - V)$, then apply a).]

Problem 1.2 a) Show that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])^2] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}])^2] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}])^2].$$

b) Show that if X and Y are random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = Y$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$, then $X = Y$ a.s.

Problem 1.3 Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a filtration and $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ an $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ -martingale. If $(X_n)_n$ is predictable, then $X_n = X_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ almost surely.

Problem 1.4 a) Let $(X_n)_n, (Y_n)_n$ be submartingales (with respect to the same filtration), then $(X_n \vee Y_n)_n$ is a submartingale, too.

b) Let $(X_n)_n$ be a martingale, $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex with $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_n)^+] < \infty$ for all n . Then $(\varphi(X_n))_n$ is a submartingale.

c) If in b) we replace “martingale” by “submartingale” and assume in addition that φ is non-decreasing then the conclusion from b) holds.

d) Show that in c) the requirement that φ is non-decreasing cannot be dispensed with in general.

Problem 1.5 Let V_1, V_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables and put $X_n := \prod_{i=1}^n V_i$ (we interpret the empty product as $X_0 := 1$).

a) Under what conditions is $(X_n)_n$ a supermartingale? When is it a martingale?

b) If $(X_n)_n$ is a supermartingale and $\Pr(V_1 = 1) < 1$ then $X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.