

Diffusion of light in turbid media with internal reflections

Walter Schirmacher^{1,2} and Giancarlo Ruocco^{3,2}

¹*Institut für Physik, Staudinger Weg 7, Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

²*Center for Life Nano Science @Sapienza, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, 295 Viale Regina Elena, I-00161, Roma, Italy*

³*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", P.le Aldo Moro 5, I-00185, Roma, Italy*

We relate the the Kubelka-Munk equations for the description of the intensity transfer of light in turbid media to a one-dimensional diffusion equation, which is obtained by averaging the three-dimensional diffusion equation over the lateral directions. This enables us to identify uniquely the Kubelka-Munk parameters and derive expressions for diffuse reflection and transmission coefficients including the effect of internal reflections. Without internal reflections we recover the Kubelka-Munk formulas for these coefficients. We show that the Kubelka-Munk equations are the proper radiative-transfer equations for the one-dimensional diffusion problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Investigating the reflectance and transmission of turbid media is a widely-used tool for materials characterization with applications ranging from soil science, over medicine, the production of paper and paint, to the design of laser car headlights [1–5]. In the analysis of the observed spectra the theory of diffuse reflectance and transmittance of Kubelka and Munk [6–8], has been widely used. The microscopical significance of the phenomenological parameters S and K appearing in this theory was discussed in many treatments [1, 9–18], but with differing results for these coefficients.

Here we show that for a geometry of rectangular incidence onto a turbid material, in which the scattering is strong enough to lead to diffusive motion of the light intensity, the Kubelka-Munk equations are equivalent to the one-dimensional projection of the 3-dimensional diffusion equation of the light intensity in the medium. This is done in the second section. In the third section we derive expressions for the diffuse reflectance and transmission coefficients, including the effect of internal reflection. The standard Kubelka-Munk results without internal reflection [6, 7] are recovered. In the fourth section we show that the Kubelka-Munk equations are, in fact, the proper radiative-transfer equations for the quasi-onedimensional scattering problem. In the fifth section some conclusions are drawn.

2. DIFFUSION AND KUBELKA-MUNK EQUATIONS

In the diffusion approximation [9, 19] the light intensity $U(\mathbf{r})$ and the current density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$ obey the steady-state energy-balance and Fick equations

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\lambda_a U(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \nabla U(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{D}} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

which are equivalent to the (steady-state) diffusion equation

$$\lambda_a U(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{D} \nabla^2 U(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r})$ is a source term.

The quantity $\tilde{D}$, which is the diffusivity divided by the light velocity in the material¹ $v = c/n$ is given by [20]

$$\tilde{D} = D/v = \frac{1}{\lambda_a + 3\lambda_t} \quad (3)$$

λ_a, λ_s and λ_t are the inverse mean free paths due to absorption, scattering and transport. The latter two are related as

$$\lambda_t = \lambda_s(1 - \langle \cos \gamma \rangle) \quad (4)$$

where γ is the scattering angle and $\langle \cos \gamma \rangle$ is the anisotropy parameter.

The relation of the diffusivity to the absorption parameter λ_a , Eq. (3) had been subject to a dispute in the literature. It was argued [21–23] that the time-dependent diffusion equation²

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \lambda_a \right) U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \tilde{D} \nabla^2 U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) + \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

with a diffusivity that depends on λ_a , violates the scaling property, obeyed by the radiative transfer equation, that the solution of the equation in the presence of absorption should be of the form

$$U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = e^{-\lambda_a \tau} U_0(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \quad (6)$$

where $U_0(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ is the solution of the equation with $\lambda_a = 0$. Therefore it was argued in Refs. [21–23] that the diffusivity should not depend on the absorptivity λ_a . The counter argument is, that the proper generalization of the steady-state diffusion equation Eq. (2) is *not* Eq. (5), but a damped telegrapher's equation [19, 20], which obeys the proper scaling. However, this equation has to reduce to the wave equation of light for short times [20]. This condition enforces the form (3) of the diffusivity, and not the form $\tilde{D} = [3(\lambda_a + \lambda_t)]^{-1}$ according to the

¹ c is the light velocity and n is the index of refraction.

² $\tau = vt$ is the velocity-scaled time.




FIG. 1. Geometry for the discussion of diffuse reflectance and transmission with uniform illumination (plane-wave incidence). We consider a slab of thickness t , which is infinitely extended in x and y direction.

conventional literature (e.g. [9]). We repeat Durian's [20] argument in the Appendix.

Let us now consider the geometry of a diffusive-reflection (or -transmission) setup with uniform illumination, i.e. an incoming plane wave in the z direction onto a sample with surface at the $z = 0$ plane, thickness t in z direction and a large incidence area $A \rightarrow \infty$ in (x, y) direction (see Fig. 1).

Instead of considering a three-dimensional diffusion problem, in which the material parameters are assumed to depend only on the z direction, as usually done [9, 24], we consider the photon density $\bar{U}(z)$, photon current $\bar{j}(z)$, and source function $\bar{\mathcal{J}}(z)$, averaged over the lateral (x, y) directions:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{U}(z) &= \frac{1}{A} \int_A dx dy U(\mathbf{r}) & \bar{j}(z) &= \frac{1}{A} \int_A dx dy j_z(\mathbf{r}) \\ \bar{\mathcal{J}}(z) &= \frac{1}{A} \int_A dx dy \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

It is evident that these quantities obey the following (quasi-) one-dimensional equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \bar{j}(z) &= -\lambda_a \bar{U}(z) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}(z) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \bar{U}(z) &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{D}} \bar{j}(z)\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

which lead to the one-dimensional diffusion equation

$$\lambda_a \bar{U}(z) = \tilde{D} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \bar{U}(z) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}(z) \quad (9)$$

Defining now the incoming and outgoing currents as

$$I_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{U}(z) \pm \bar{j}(z)] \quad (10)$$

we obtain from the diffusion equations (8) the

Kubelka-Munk equations

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + K\right) I_+(z) &= -S \left(I_+(z) - I_-(z)\right) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}(z) \\ \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + K\right) I_-(z) &= -S \left(I_-(z) - I_+(z)\right) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}(z)\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}K &= \lambda_a \\ S &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{D}} - \lambda_a\right) = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_t\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Eq. (12) can also be written as

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{D}} = K + 2S \quad (13)$$

3. DERIVATION OF REFLECTANCE AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS

Instead of solving Eqs. (11) we solve the diffusion equation (9).

The general solution of the homogeneous diffusion equation (setting $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ in Eq. (9)) is

$$\bar{U}(z) = A e^{\alpha z} + B e^{-\alpha z} \quad (14)$$

with the inverse diffusion length

$$\alpha = \sqrt{K/\tilde{D}} = \sqrt{K(K+2S)} \quad (15)$$

From the solution (14) we get the in- and outgoing currents [25, 26]

$$I_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(A(1 \mp \beta) e^{\alpha z} + B(1 \pm \beta) e^{-\alpha z} \right) \quad (16)$$

with

$$\beta = \tilde{D} \alpha = \sqrt{K \tilde{D}} = \sqrt{K/(K+2S)} \quad (17)$$

A. Optically thick samples

1. No reflection at $z = 0$

The appropriate boundary conditions corresponding to optically thick samples without reflection at $z = 0$ are

$$I_+(0) = \bar{U}_0 \quad \bar{I}_+(\infty) = 0 \quad (18)$$

The second boundary condition implies $A = 0$. The in- and outgoing currents are therefore

$$I_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{2} B(1 \pm \beta) e^{-\alpha z} \quad (19)$$

From the first boundary condition we obtain

$$B = \bar{U}_0 \frac{2}{1 + \beta} \quad (20)$$

from which we obtain the ingoing current at $z = 0$

$$I_-(0) = \bar{U}_0 \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta} \quad (21)$$

and hence the reflectivity

$$R_\infty = \frac{I_-(0)}{I_+(0)} = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta} \quad (22)$$

For the Kubelka-Munk function we obtain, using Eq. (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{K} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1 + R_\infty}{1 - R_\infty} \right)^2 - 1 \right] = \frac{2R_\infty}{(1 - R_\infty)^2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda_a} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

2. Reflection at $z = 0$

The first boundary condition is now

$$I_+(0) = U_0 + R_0 I_-(0) \quad (24)$$

where R_0 is the reflectivity at the $z = 0$ boundary. Inserting the expressions (19) for $I_\pm(0)$ we get

$$\frac{2}{1 + \beta} I_+(0) = B = R_0 \frac{2}{1 + \beta} I_+(0) = \frac{2}{1 + \beta} U_0 + R_0 R_\infty B \quad (25)$$

from which follows

$$B = \frac{1 + \beta}{2} U_0 \frac{1}{1 - R_0 R_\infty} \quad (26)$$

The current in reverse direction is given by

$$I_\leftarrow = (1 - R_0) I_-(0) \quad (27)$$

and hence

$$R = \frac{1}{U_0} I_\leftarrow = R_\infty \frac{1 - R_0}{1 - R_0 R_\infty} \quad (28)$$

B. Optically thin samples

For optically thin samples with Reflectivity R_1 at the back ($z = t$) of the sample and Reflectivity R_0 at the front ($z = 0$) of the sample we have the boundary conditions

$$I_+(0) = \bar{U}_0 + R_0 I_-(0) \quad I_-(t) = R_1 I_+(t) \quad (29)$$

Using the definition of R_∞ , Eq. (22), we get from the boundary conditions a linear set of equations for the coefficients A and B

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + \beta} I_+(0) &= R_\infty A + B \\ &= \frac{2}{1 + \beta} [\bar{U}_0 + R_0 I_-(0)] \\ &= \frac{2}{1 + \beta} \bar{U}_0 + R_0 [A + B R_\infty] \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which can be put into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_\infty - R_0 & 1 - R_\infty R_0 \\ (1 - R_\infty R_1)e^{\alpha t} & (R_\infty - R_1)e^{-\alpha t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_1}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{1 + \beta} \bar{U}_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix is

$$D = (R_\infty - R_0)(R_\infty - R_1)e^{-\alpha t} - (1 - R_\infty R_0)(1 - R_\infty R_1)e^{\alpha t} \quad (32)$$

So we get from Kramer's rule

$$A = \frac{\bar{U}_0}{D} \frac{2}{1 + \beta} e^{-\alpha t} (R_\infty - R_1) \quad (33)$$

$$B = -\frac{\bar{U}_0}{D} \frac{2}{1 + \beta} e^{\alpha t} (1 - R_1 R_\infty) \quad (34)$$

We obtain for the currents at $z = 0$ and at $z = t$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_-(0) &= \frac{1 + \beta}{2} [A + R_\infty B] \\ &= \frac{\bar{U}_0}{D} \left[e^{-\alpha t} (R_\infty - R_1) - R_\infty e^{\alpha t} (1 - R_1 R_\infty) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(t) &= \frac{1 + \beta}{2} [R_\infty A e^{\alpha t} + B e^{-\alpha t}] \\ &= \frac{\bar{U}_0}{D} [R_\infty^2 - 1], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

from which we get the reflectivity R

$$R = \frac{I_\leftarrow}{U_0} = (1 - R_0) \frac{I_-(0)}{\bar{U}_0} = (1 - R_0) R_\infty \frac{e^{\alpha t} (1 - R_\infty R_1) - e^{-\alpha t} (1 - \frac{R_1}{R_\infty})}{(1 - R_\infty R_0)(1 - R_\infty R_1)e^{\alpha t} - (R_\infty - R_0)(R_\infty - R_1)e^{-\alpha t}} \quad (37)$$

and the transmittivity T

$$T = \frac{I_+(t)}{\bar{U}_0} = \frac{1 - R_\infty^2}{(1 - R_\infty R_0)(1 - R_\infty R_1)e^{\alpha t} - (R_\infty - R_0)(R_\infty - R_1)e^{-\alpha t}} \quad (38)$$

Introducing the Kubelka-Munk parameters

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R_\infty} + R_\infty \right) \quad b = \alpha/S = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R_\infty} - R_\infty \right) \quad (39)$$

we get

$$R = (1 - R_0) \frac{R_1 b \cosh(\alpha t) R_1 b + (1 - R_1 a) \sinh(\alpha t)}{b(1 - R_0 R_1) \cosh(\alpha t) + [a(1 - R_0 R_1) - R_0 - R_1] \sinh(\alpha t)} \quad (40)$$

$$T = \frac{b}{b(1 - R_0 R_1) \cosh(\alpha t) + [a(1 - R_0 R_1) - R_0 - R_1] \sinh(\alpha t)} \quad (41)$$

If we set $R_0 = 0$, we get the formulas of Kubelka (1948) [7]

$$R = \frac{1 - R_1 a + R_1 b \coth(\alpha t)}{a - R_1 + b \coth(\alpha t)} \quad (42)$$

and

$$T = \frac{b}{b \cosh(\alpha t) + (a - R_1) \sinh(\alpha t)} \quad (43)$$

For $R_0 = R_1 = 0$ we get the standard Kubelka-Munk formulas [7, 25, 26], which do not contain the effect of internal reflections.

$$R = \frac{e^{\alpha t} + e^{-\alpha t}}{e^{\alpha t} \frac{1}{R_\infty} - e^{-\alpha t} R_\infty} = \frac{\sinh \alpha t}{a \sinh \alpha t + b \cosh \alpha t} \quad (44)$$

$$T = \frac{\frac{1}{R_\infty} - R_\infty}{e^{\alpha t} \frac{1}{R_\infty} - e^{-\alpha t} R_\infty} = \frac{b}{a \sinh \alpha t + b \cosh \alpha t} \quad (45)$$

Another interesting limit is that of very small R_∞ , i.e. $R_\infty \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{R_1 e^{-\alpha t}}{e^{\alpha t} - R_0 R_1 e^{-\alpha t}} \\ &= \frac{R_1 e^{-2\alpha t}}{1 - R_0 R_1 e^{-2\alpha t}} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{e^{\alpha t} - R_0 R_1 e^{-\alpha t}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{1 - R_0 R_1 e^{-2\alpha t}} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

4. KUBELKA-MUNK EQUATIONS AS ONE-DIMENSIONAL RADIATIVE-TRANSFER EQUATIONS

We now want to demonstrate that the Kubelka-Munk equations (11) are the proper radiative-transfer equations for the diffuse-reflection geometry depicted in Fig. 1.

We recall the three-dimensional radiative transfer equations of the light intensity in a turbid medium

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda_a + \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla] I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) &= - \sum_{\mathbf{s}'} q_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'} \left(I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) - I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}') \right) \\ &= -\lambda_s I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) + \sum_{\mathbf{s}'} q_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'} I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}') \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$ is the distribution density of light rays passing through $\mathbf{r}$ with the direction $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{k}/k$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector. $q_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'} = |f(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}')|^2$ is the phase function, i.e. the scattering cross-section from $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{s}'$ with $f(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}')$ being the corresponding amplitude. $\sum_{\mathbf{s}'}$ is an integral over the entire solid angle, with the original direction $\mathbf{s}$ being excluded. The second line of Eq. (48) is obtained from the sum rule

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s}'} q_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}'} = \sum_{\mathbf{s}'} q_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}} = \lambda_s \quad (49)$$

The three-dimensional diffusion equations (1) and (2) are obtained from Eq. (48) by (i) expanding the angle dependence of $I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$ and $q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}') \approx q(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s}') = q(\cos \gamma)$ in terms of Legendre polynomials and stop after the 1st term (P1 approximation) and then integrating $\mathbf{s}$ over the total solid angle [9, 24]

The two terms of the three-dimensional $I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})$ in P1 approximation are [9, 19]

$$I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) = A_{3d} U(\mathbf{r}) + B_{3d} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (50)$$

with

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}), \quad \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{s} I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}),$$

$$A_{3d} = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{s}} 1} = 1/4\pi \quad B_{3d} = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s}} = 3/4\pi$$

The corresponding expression in one dimension is

$$I(x, \mathbf{s}) = A_{1d} U(x) + B_{1d} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{j}(x) \quad (51)$$

with $A_{1d} = B_{1d} = 1/\sum_{\mathbf{s}} 1 = 1/2$, which is just Eq. (10).

Because we have shown in the beginning that the diffusion equations (9) are equivalent to the Kubelka-Munk equations (11) we conclude that the P1 approximation, and hence the diffusion approximation in one dimension is exact. This has already been pointed out in Refs. [19, 27].

So we can state that the Kubelka-Munk equations (11) are (i) identical to the three-dimensional diffusion equation, averaged over the lateral dimensions, and (ii) are the proper radiative-transfer equations for the one-dimensional diffuse-reflection problem.

5. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the Kubelka-Munk equations are identical to the one-dimensional diffusion equation, which is obtained by averaging the three-dimensional diffusion equation with respect to the lateral directions. We obtain as Kubelka-Munk parameters $K = \lambda_a$ (absorptive inverse scattering length) and $S = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_t = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_s(1 - \langle \cos \gamma \rangle)$, where λ_t and λ_s are the transport and scattering inverse scattering lengths, and $\langle \cos \gamma \rangle$ is the anisotropy parameter. Using the 1d diffusion equation we have derived formulas for the diffuse reflection and transmission, which includes possible internal reflections. In the absence of internal reflections these expressions reduce to those given by Kubelka and Munk. We have demonstrated that the Kubelka-Munk equations are the appropriate radiative

transfer equations for the reflection problem with plane-wave incidence (uniform illumination).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper has been published on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Taras Bryk. This research was supported in part by Schott AG Mainz.

APPENDIX: DIFFUSION AND THE TELEGRAPHER'S EQUATION

If we include the time dependence the P1-approximated radiative-transfer equations do not give a diffusion equation, but instead a telegrapher's equation [19]. This equation preserves the $\lambda_a - \tau$ scaling of the solution $U(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$

$$U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = e^{-\lambda_a \tau} U_0(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \quad (52)$$

where $U_0(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ is the solution in the absence of absorption.

Durian [20] has shown that the most general form of a telegrapher's equation, which preserves this scaling is

$$3\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) + 3 \left(\lambda_t + \alpha \lambda_a \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) + 3\lambda_a \left(\lambda_t + \alpha \lambda_a \right) U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \nabla^2 U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \quad (53)$$

In the steady state the usual steady-state diffusion equation (with still unspecified prefactor α of λ_a) is obtained. It can be easily checked that Eq. (53) fulfils the $\lambda_a - \tau$ scaling for any value of α . Durian [20] now argues that for small times, which describes the initial spreading of a point source, the proper wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \nabla^2 U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \quad (54)$$

must be recovered. This enforces the value of $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, and hence a diffusivity of the form of Eq. (3).

-
- [1] H. G. Hecht, The interpretation of diffusive reflectance spectra, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* **80A**, 567 (1970).
 - [2] Z. C. Orel, M. K. Gunde, and B. Orel, Application of the Kubelka-Munk theory for the determination of the optical properties of solar absorbing paints, *Prog. Org. Coatings* **30**, 59 (1997).
 - [3] M. C. Pasikatan, J. L. Steele, C. K. Spillman, and E. Haque, Near infrared reflectance spectroscopy for on-line particle size analysis of powders and ground materials, *J. Near Infrared Spectrosc.* **9**, 153 (2001).
 - [4] J. Torrent and V. Barrón, Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy, in *Mineralogical Methods, SSSA Book Series*,

Vol. 5, edited by L. R. Drees and A. L. Ulery (Soil Science Society of America, 2008).

- [5] Y.-P. Chang, J.-K. Chang, H.-A. Chen, S.-H. Chang, C.-N. Liu, P. Han, and W.-H. Cheng, An advanced laser headlight module employing highly reliable glass phosphor, *Optics Express* **27**, 1808 (2019).
- [6] P. Kubelka and F. Munk, Ein Beitrag zur Optik der Farbanstriche, *Z. Technol. Phys.* **12**, 593 (1931).
- [7] P. Kubelka, New contributions to the optics of intensely light-scattering materials; Part I: Homogeneous layers, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **38**, 448 (1948).
- [8] P. Kubelka, New contributions to the optics of intensely

- light-scattering materials; Part II: Nonhomogeneous layers, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **44**, 330 (1954).
- [9] A. Ishimaru, *Wave propagation and scattering in random media*, V (Academic Press, New York, 1978).
 - [10] H. Granberg and P. Edström, Quantification of the intrinsic error of the Kubelka-Munk model caused by strong light absorption, *J. Pulp Pap. Sci.* **29**, 386 (2003).
 - [11] P. Edström, Comparison of the DORT2002 radiative transfer solution method and the Kubelka-Munk model, *Nordic Pulp Pap. Res. J.* **19**, 397 (2004).
 - [12] P. Edström, Examination of the revised Kubelka-Munk theory: considerations of modeling strategies, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **24**, 548 (2007).
 - [13] M. Neuman, L. G. Coppel, and P. Edström, A partial explanation of the dependence between light scattering and light absorption in the Kubelka-Munk model, *Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J.* **27**, 426 (2007).
 - [14] V. Džimbeg-Malčić, Ž. Barbarić-Mikočević, and K. Itrić, Kubelka-Munk theory in describing optical properties of paper (i), *Techn. Gazette* **18**, 117 (2011).
 - [15] V. Džimbeg-Malčić, Ž. Barbarić-Mikočević, and K. Itrić, Kubelka-Munk theory in describing optical properties of paper (ii), *Techn. Gazette* **19**, 191 (2012).
 - [16] N. J. Elton and A. Legrix, Wavelength dependence of Kubelka-Munk scattering spectra for studies of tio_2 microstructure and aggregation in paints, *J. Coat. Technol. Res.* **11**, 555 (2014).
 - [17] C. Sandoval and A. D. Kim, Deriving Kubelka-Munk theory from radiative transport, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **31**, 628 (2014).
 - [18] B. Ilan and A. D. Kim, Radiative transfer of light in strongly-scattering media, in *Light scattering reviews*, Vol. 3, edited by A. Kokhanovsky (Springer, Heidelberg, 2019).
 - [19] J. M. Porrà, J. Masoliver, and G. H. Weiss, When the telegrapher's equation furnishes a better approximation to the transport equation than the diffusion approximation, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 7771 (1997).
 - [20] D. J. Durian, The diffusion coefficient depends on absorption, *Opt. Letter* **23**, 1502 (1998).
 - [21] K. Furutsu and Y. Yamada, Diffusion approximation for a dissipative random medium and the applications, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 3634 (1994).
 - [22] T. Nakai, G. Nishimura, K. Yamamoto, and M. Tamura, Expression of optical diffusion coefficient in high-absorption turbid media, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **42**, 2541 (1997).
 - [23] T. Durduran, A. G. Yodh, B. Chance, and D. A. Boas, Does the photon-diffusion coefficient depend on absorption?, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **14**, 3358 (1997).
 - [24] S. Chandrasekhar, *Radiative transfer* (Dover, New York, 1960).
 - [25] W. W. Wendlandt and H. G. Hecht, *Reflectance spectroscopy* (John Wiley & Sons, 1966).
 - [26] A. Ciani, K.-U. Gross, and R. P. Schwarzenbach, Light penetration in soil and particulate minerals, *Eur. Journ. Soil Science* **56**, 561 (2005).
 - [27] S. Goldstein, On diffusion by discontinuous movements and on the telegraph equation, *Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math* **4**, 129 (1951).