Dynamic Processes of Financial Markets and the Black-Scholes Model Higher Statistical Mechanics

Christian Schneider

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

27.5.2019

- in both physics and finance observe dynamical systems
- experiments and markets produce lots of data



source:

figure 1:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wall_ Street_(589300483).jpg figure 2:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:CERN_ (7825770258).jpg

- in both physics and finance observe dynamical systems
- experiments and markets produce lots of data
 ~> how to predict the data?



source:

figure 1:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wall_ Street_(589300483).jpg figure 2:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:CERN_ (7825770258).jpg

- in both physics and finance observe dynamical systems
- experiments and markets produce lots of data
 ~> how to predict the data?
- theoretical physics and financial mathematics



source:

figure 1:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wall_ Street_(5899300483).jpg figure 2:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:CERN_ (7825770258).jpg

- in financial mathematics make use of stochastic
- specifically need stochastic processes (like Wiener process)



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Random_walk_25000_not_animated.svg

- in financial mathematics make use of stochastic
- specifically need stochastic processes (like Wiener process)
- what is the Black–Scholes model?



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Random_walk_25000_not_animated.svg

- in financial mathematics make use of stochastic
- specifically need stochastic processes (like Wiener process)
- what is the Black–Scholes model?
 - → need basics in stochastic and finance



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Random_walk_25000_not_animated.svg

a financial instrument is a monetary $\ensuremath{\textbf{contract}}$ between a $\ensuremath{\textbf{buyer}}$ and a $\ensuremath{\textbf{seller}}$

a financial instrument is a monetary $\ensuremath{\textbf{contract}}$ between a $\ensuremath{\textbf{buyer}}$ and a $\ensuremath{\textbf{seller}}$

definition: cash instruments and derivative instruments

financial instrument whose value is directly determined by the **market** are called **cash instruments**, **else** they are called **derivative instruments**

a financial instrument is a monetary $\ensuremath{\textbf{contract}}$ between a $\ensuremath{\textbf{buyer}}$ and a $\ensuremath{\textbf{seller}}$

definition: cash instruments and derivative instruments financial instrument whose value is directly determined by the **market** are called **cash instruments**, **else** they are called **derivative instruments**

• examples for cash instruments: stocks, deposits, loans, commodities e.g. gold, ore, wheat, coffee etc.

a financial instrument is a monetary $\ensuremath{\textbf{contract}}$ between a $\ensuremath{\textbf{buyer}}$ and a $\ensuremath{\textbf{seller}}$

definition: cash instruments and derivative instruments financial instrument whose value is directly determined by the **market** are called **cash instruments**, **else** they are called **derivative instruments**

- examples for cash instruments: stocks, deposits, loans, commodities e.g. gold, ore, wheat, coffee etc.
- examples for derivative instruments: options, futures

an option is a monetary contract which gives the holder the right to **buy** or **sell** an underlying instrument from or to the writer for a **strike price** at a defined date

an option is a monetary contract which gives the holder the right to **buy** or **sell** an underlying instrument from or to the writer for a **strike price** at a defined date

• the holder has no obligation to fulfill the contract, writer does have

an option is a monetary contract which gives the holder the right to **buy** or **sell** an underlying instrument from or to the writer for a **strike price** at a defined date

the holder has no obligation to fulfill the contract, writer does have
 → option costs fee due to asymmetry

an option is a monetary contract which gives the holder the right to **buy** or **sell** an underlying instrument from or to the writer for a **strike price** at a defined date

- the holder has no obligation to fulfill the contract, writer does have

 option costs fee due to asymmetry
- how does pricing for financial instruments work?

• on an exchange financial instruments are traded

pricing of cash instruments

- buyers and sellers write orders in order book
- price determined by exchange **specific rules** and entries of order book



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Sao_Paulo_Stock_Exchange.jpg

how does option pricing work?



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Cme_building_aerial_view.jpg

- how does option pricing work?
- for options strike price is determined when setting up the contract



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Cme_building_aerial_view.jpg

- how does option pricing work?
- for options strike price is determined when setting up the contract
- to determine fair strike price need to know the "value" of an option



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Cme_building_aerial_view.jpg

- how does option pricing work?
- for options strike price is determined when setting up the contract
- to determine fair strike price need to know the "value" of an option
 - \rightsquigarrow Black-Scholes model



source:https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Cme_building_aerial_view.jpg

definition: market participants

• speculators take **risks** in buying assets

definition: market participants

- speculators take **risks** in buying assets
- arbitrageurs make **riskless** profit by trading financial instruments with different prices on separate markets

definition: market participants

- speculators take **risks** in buying assets
- arbitrageurs make **riskless** profit by trading financial instruments with different prices on separate markets
- hedgers try to compensate risks with suitable transactions

definition: market participants

- speculators take risks in buying assets
- arbitrageurs make **riskless** profit by trading financial instruments with different prices on separate markets
- hedgers try to **compensate risks** with suitable transactions
- investors try to make profit with long term ownership

Basics in Stochastic

definition: Wiener process (Brownian motion)

a real stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in I)$ is called Wiener process iff: $\begin{cases}
X_0 = 0 \text{ almost everywhere} \\
\text{for } 0 < t \leq t' : X_{t+t'} - X_t \text{ is independent of } X_s \text{ for } s < t \\
X_{t+t'} - X_t \propto \mathcal{N}(0, t') \\
X_t \text{ is continuous in } t \text{ almost everywhere}
\end{cases}$

Basics in Stochastic

definition: Wiener process (Brownian motion)

a real stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in I)$ is called Wiener process iff: $\begin{cases}
X_0 = 0 \text{ almost everywhere} \\
\text{for } 0 < t \leq t' : X_{t+t'} - X_t \text{ is independent of } X_s \text{ for } s < t \\
X_{t+t'} - X_t \propto \mathcal{N}(0, t') \\
X_t \text{ is continuous in } t \text{ almost everywhere}
\end{cases}$

definition: geometric Brownian motion

let X be a Wiener process then $W_t = a \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma X_t\right)$ with $a, \sigma, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is called geometric Brownian motion, μ is called drift and σ volatility

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant

Black-Scholes model describes market consisting of at least one risky asset (stock) and one riskless asset (deposit)

8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model

- 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
- 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously
 - 4 the stock S exhibits a strictly positive geometric Brownian motion

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously
 - 4 the stock S exhibits a strictly positive geometric Brownian motion
 - 5 the volatility σ is constant

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously
 - 4 the stock S exhibits a strictly positive geometric Brownian motion
 - 5 the volatility σ is constant
 - 6 the stock does not pay dividends

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously
 - 4 the stock S exhibits a strictly positive geometric Brownian motion
 - 5 the volatility σ is constant
 - 6 the stock does not pay dividends
 - 7 assets are traded in arbitrary fractions

- 8 assumptions of the Black-Scholes model
 - 1 the interest rate r of the riskless asset is constant
 - 2 the market is infinitely liquid and frictionless
 - 3 assets are traded continuously
 - 4 the stock S exhibits a strictly positive geometric Brownian motion
 - 5 the volatility σ is constant
 - 6 the stock does not pay dividends
 - 7 assets are traded in arbitrary fractions
 - 8 the market is arbitrage free

Heuristic Motivation

under assumptions made, deposit value with a starting value $\Pi(0)$ and interest rate r is :

 $\Pi(t) = \Pi(0) \exp(rt)$

Heuristic Motivation

under assumptions made, deposit value with a starting value $\Pi(0)$ and interest rate r is :

 $\Pi(t) = \Pi(0) \exp(rt)$

this is solution of the ODE:

 $d\Pi = r\Pi(t)dt$
under assumptions made, deposit value with a starting value $\Pi(0)$ and interest rate r is :

 $\Pi(t) = \Pi(0) \exp(rt)$

this is solution of the ODE:

 $d\Pi = r\Pi(t)dt$

assume stock price S to be similar but perturbed by stochastic fluctuation

under assumptions made, deposit value with a starting value $\Pi(0)$ and interest rate r is :

 $\Pi(t) = \Pi(0) \exp(rt)$

this is solution of the ODE:

 $d\Pi = r\Pi(t)dt$

assume stock price S to be similar but perturbed by stochastic fluctuation, deterministic part:

 $dS = \mu S(t)dt$

under assumptions made, deposit value with a starting value $\Pi(0)$ and interest rate r is :

 $\Pi(t) = \Pi(0) \exp(rt)$

this is solution of the ODE:

 $d\Pi = r\Pi(t)dt$

assume stock price S to be similar but perturbed by stochastic fluctuation, deterministic part:

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt$$

stochastic part should be determined by a Wiener process X:

$$dS = \sigma S(t) dX(t)$$

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$$

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$$

 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow}$
 $d\ln(S) = \mu dt + \sigma dX(t)$

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$$
 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow}$
 $d\ln(S) = \mu dt + \sigma dX(t)$

to obtain stock price S need to integrate:

 \rightsquigarrow not possible with Lebesgue integral

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$$

 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow}$
 $d\ln(S) = \mu dt + \sigma dX(t)$

to obtain stock price S need to integrate:

 \rightsquigarrow not possible with Lebesgue integral

 \rightsquigarrow need Itô calculus/integral

let X be a Wiener process a stochastic process S with:

$$dS = a(S, t)dt + b(S, t)dX(t)$$

is called Itô process

let X be a Wiener process a stochastic process S with:

$$dS = a(S, t)dt + b(S, t)dX(t)$$

is called Itô process, following holds due to Itô's lemma:

$$df(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + a(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}b^2(S,t)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + b(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}dX(t)$$

let X be a Wiener process a stochastic process S with:

$$dS = a(S, t)dt + b(S, t)dX(t)$$

is called Itô process, following holds due to Itô's lemma:

$$df(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathsf{a}(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}b^2(S,t)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + b(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}dX(t)$$

for $dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$ in Itô calculus we obtain:

$$d\ln(S) = \left(\mu - rac{\sigma^2}{2}
ight) dt + \sigma dX(t)$$

let X be a Wiener process a stochastic process S with:

$$dS = a(S, t)dt + b(S, t)dX(t)$$

is called Itô process, following holds due to Itô's lemma:

$$df(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathsf{a}(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}b^2(S,t)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + b(S,t)\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}dX(t)$$

for $dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$ in Itô calculus we obtain:

$$d\ln(S) = \left(\mu - rac{\sigma^2}{2}
ight)dt + \sigma dX(t)$$

get:

$$S_t = a \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma X_t\right)$$

in heuristic derivation made use of Black-Scholes assumptions, now option price (option value) is:

$$O=O(S,t)$$

Itô process

in heuristic derivation made use of Black-Scholes assumptions, now option price (option value) is:

$$O=O(S,t)$$

Itô process, using Itô's lemma yields:

$$dO(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \mu S(t)\frac{\partial O}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2\frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \sigma S(t)\frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dX(t)$$

in heuristic derivation made use of Black-Scholes assumptions, now option price (option value) is:

$$O=O(S,t)$$

Itô process, using Itô's lemma yields:

$$dO(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \mu S(t)\frac{\partial O}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2\frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \sigma S(t)\frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dX(t)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2\frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dS(t)$$

where we used:

$$dS = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dX(t)$$

option price (option value) should depend on how liquid writer is

option price (option value) should depend on how liquid writer is, the writer should own a fraction of the underlying $\Delta(t)$ and a cash amount $\Pi(t)$ such that:

$$O(S,t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Pi(t)$$

option price (option value) should depend on how liquid writer is, the writer should own a fraction of the underlying $\Delta(t)$ and a cash amount $\Pi(t)$ such that:

$$O(S,t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Pi(t)$$

therefore:

$$dO(S,t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt$$

since $\Delta(t)$ should be changed as reaction to a fluctuation

option price (option value) should depend on how liquid writer is, the writer should own a fraction of the underlying $\Delta(t)$ and a cash amount $\Pi(t)$ such that:

$$O(S,t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Pi(t)$$

therefore:

$$dO(S,t) = \Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt$$

since $\Delta(t)$ should be changed as reaction to a fluctuation, this should coincide with:

$$dO(S,t) = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right) dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} dS(t)$$

have

$$\Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dS(t)$$

have

$$\Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dS(t)$$

get:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} \\ r\Pi(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} \end{cases}$$

have

$$\Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dS(t)$$

get:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} \\ r\Pi(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} \end{cases}$$

with:

$$O(S,t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Pi(t)$$

have

$$\Delta(t)dS(t) + r\Pi(t)dt = \left(\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \frac{\partial O}{\partial S}dS(t)$$

get:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} \\ r\Pi(t) = \frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} \end{cases}$$

with:

$$O(S,t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Pi(t)$$

eliminate dS and plug in Π :

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

the Black-Scholes equation

Discussion of the Black-Scholes Model

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + rS(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - rO = 0$$

• is independent of drift μ

Discussion of the Black-Scholes Model

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

- is independent of drift μ
- interest rate r is accessible information

Discussion of the Black-Scholes Model

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

- is independent of drift μ
- interest rate r is accessible information
- volatility σ is not directly accessible

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

- is independent of drift μ
- interest rate r is accessible information
- volatility σ is not directly accessible
 → use solution O(S, t) = O(S, t, σ_{imp}) [!]=current market price

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

- is independent of drift μ
- interest rate r is accessible information
- volatility σ is not directly accessible
 → use solution O(S, t) = O(S, t, σ_{imp}) [!]=current market price
 → data shows σ_{imp} not constant

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma S(t))^2 \frac{\partial^2 O}{\partial S^2} + r S(t) \frac{\partial O}{\partial S} - r O = 0$$

- is independent of drift μ
- interest rate r is accessible information
- volatility σ is not directly accessible
 → use solution O(S, t) = O(S, t, σ_{imp}) =current market price
 → data shows σ_{imp} not constant, violates assumption 5

stock price performs a geometric Brownian motion, but:



source:https://www.ariva.de/



source:https://www.ariva.de/



Volkswagen St (Frankfurt) (in EUR)

 \rightsquigarrow underestimation of extreme moves, violates assumption 4

source:https://www.ariva.de/

• interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6
- the market is not arbitrage free, violates assumptions 8

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6
- the market is not arbitrage free, violates assumptions 8
- markets are neither infinitely liquid nor frictionless, violates assumptions 2
Limitations of the Black-Scholes Model

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6
- the market is not arbitrage free, violates assumptions 8
- markets are neither infinitely liquid nor frictionless, violates assumptions 2
- \rightsquigarrow however in practice Black-Scholes model often good approximation

Limitations of the Black-Scholes Model

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6
- the market is not arbitrage free, violates assumptions 8
- markets are neither infinitely liquid nor frictionless, violates assumptions 2
- → however in practice Black-Scholes model often good approximation, risks resulting out of false model assumptions can partly be hedged

Limitations of the Black-Scholes Model

- interest rates are not constant, violates assumption 1
- assets are neither traded continuously nor in arbitrary fractions, violates assumptions 3, 7
- most stocks pay dividend, violates assumptions 6
- the market is not arbitrage free, violates assumptions 8
- markets are neither infinitely liquid nor frictionless, violates assumptions 2
- → however in practice Black-Scholes model often good approximation, risks resulting out of false model assumptions can partly be hedged
- \rightsquigarrow Black-Scholes model can serve as basis for more refined model

extreme moves of single stocks more likely than expected, what about market indices?

extreme moves of single stocks more likely than expected, what about market indices?



source:https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9712005v3

draw down: relative loss from local maximum to next local minimum

extreme moves of single stocks more likely than expected, what about market indices?



source:https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9712005v3

draw down: relative loss from local maximum to next local minimum change of exponential behaviour can be explained by a change of market dynamics

extreme moves of single stocks more likely than expected, what about market indices?



source:https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9712005v3

draw down: relative loss from local maximum to next local minimum change of exponential behaviour can be explained by a change of market dynamics

 \rightsquigarrow phase transition



source:https://www.ariva.de/



source:https://www.ariva.de/

 \rightsquigarrow earthquake models

not all crashes are earthquake like

Dow Jones (Indizes US) 14.000 13,500 13.000 mm 12,500 12 000 11.500 11.000 10.500 10.000 9.500 9.000 8.500 8.000 7.500 7.000 02.01.06 - 31.12.08 März Mai Juli Sep. Nov. Jan. 2007 März Mai Juli Sep. Nov. Jan. 2008 März Mai Juli Sep. Nov.

source:https://www.ariva.de/

• consider N_t traders *i*, with same trading volume

- consider N_t traders *i*, with same trading volume
- at each time step t_n each trader can buy $(\phi_i = 1)$, sell $(\phi_i = -1)$ or wait $(\phi_i = 0)$

- consider N_t traders i, with same trading volume
- at each time step t_n each trader can buy $(\phi_i = 1)$, sell $(\phi_i = -1)$ or wait $(\phi_i = 0)$

• price change
$$\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \phi_i(t_n)$$

- consider N_t traders i, with same trading volume
- at each time step t_n each trader can buy $(\phi_i = 1)$, sell $(\phi_i = -1)$ or wait $(\phi_i = 0)$

• price change
$$\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \phi_i(t_n)$$

• decision based on rational assessment and environment (information from colleagues and market)

Cont-Bouchaud model:

• all traders communicate

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

• now have clusters with cluster size s_c and $\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} s_c \phi_c(t_n)$

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

• now have clusters with cluster size s_c and $\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} s_c \phi_c(t_n)$

• for
$$b\lesssim 1$$
 probability for cluster of size s is $p_c(s)=rac{1}{s^{1+rac{2}{2}}}\exp(-(1-b)^2s),$ for $1\ll s\ll N_t$

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

• now have clusters with cluster size s_c and $\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} s_c \phi_c(t_n)$

• for
$$b\lesssim 1$$
 probability for cluster of size s is $p_c(s)=rac{1}{s^{1+rac{2}{2}}}\exp(-(1-b)^2s),$ for $1\ll s\ll N_t$

• for b = 1 critical point, clusters of all sizes

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

• now have clusters with cluster size s_c and $\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} s_c \phi_c(t_n)$

• for
$$b\lesssim 1$$
 probability for cluster of size s is $p_c(s)=rac{1}{s^{1+rac{3}{2}}}\exp(-(1-b)^2s),$ for $1\ll s\ll N_t$

- for b = 1 critical point, clusters of all sizes
- for b > 1 more and more traders join biggest cluster

Cont-Bouchaud model:

- all traders communicate
- at some point have bonds between traders with probability $p_b = \frac{b}{N_0}$, then cluster bond in similar fashion and so on

• now have clusters with cluster size s_c and $\Delta S(t_n) \propto \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} s_c \phi_c(t_n)$

• for
$$b\lesssim 1$$
 probability for cluster of size s is $p_c(s)=rac{1}{s^{1+rac{2}{2}}}\exp(-(1-b)^2s),$ for $1\ll s\ll N_t$

• for b = 1 critical point, clusters of all sizes

for b > 1 more and more traders join biggest cluster
if big cluster emerges and decides to sell → crash

- financial markets and assets can be modelled with statistic methods
- limited data
- unknown micro dynamics
- models have restricted predictability

Achim Klenke. *Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie*, volume 1. Springer, 2006.

Wolfgang Paul and Jörg Baschnagel. *Stochastic processes*, volume 1. Springer, 2013.

Johannes Voit. *The statistical mechanics of financial markets.* Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.