

Reading guidelines 4

June 14, 2017

1 Sheaves of modules

Read the definitions related to sheaves of modules on page 109 and 110.

- (i) (★) Imitating the definition of sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, how would you define a *presheaf* of \mathcal{O}_X -modules? (★★) Prove that the sheafification of a presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- (ii) (★) Why is the kernel, cokernel and image of a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -module again an \mathcal{O}_X -module?
- (iii) Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be sheaves of abelian groups on a topological space X . (★) For any open subset $U \subseteq X$, show that the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ of morphisms of the restricted sheaves has a natural structure of abelian group. (★★) Show that the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ is a sheaf of abelian groups. (★) How do you adjust these definitions if (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a ringed space and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are \mathcal{O}_X -modules?
- (iv) Read the definition of sheaf associated to an A -module M . Compare it to the definition of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O} on $\text{Spec } A$ (page 70). (★) Prove that $\mathcal{O} = \widetilde{A}$.
- (v) (★) Read Proposition (5.1) and prove it by imitating the proof of (2.2).
- (vi) Read Proposition (5.2). (★) Saying that the map $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ is functorial (i.e., it is a functor of categories) means that if you have a morphism $M \rightarrow N$ of A -module, then you automatically get a morphism $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules: construct it using the definitions. It should be obvious that the identity of A -modules becomes the identity of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and the composition of morphisms of A -modules becomes the composition of morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. (★) Saying that it is exact means that if you have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ of A -modules, then you get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widetilde{M}' \rightarrow \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'' \rightarrow 0$. Prove it looking at the stalks. (★) Prove (b) and (c) looking at the stalks. (★★) Prove (d) and (e) using the definitions (warning: this can be a bit cumbersome).
- (vii) Read the definition of quasi-coherent and coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- (viii) Read Example 5.2.1. (★) Why is \mathcal{O}_X coherent on any scheme? (Hint: use (iv)).
- (ix) Read Example 5.2.2. (★) Why is $i_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ isomorphic to $\widetilde{A/\mathfrak{a}}$? (Hint: use (5.2d)).

2 Quasi-compactness and other properties

Look up the following definitions if you don't remember them: noetherian topological space, noetherian ring, noetherian scheme, quasi-compact topological space, quasi-compact scheme.

- (i) (★) Show that a topological space is noetherian if and only if every open subset is quasi-compact.
 - (ii) (★) If $X = \text{Spec } A$ is an affine scheme, prove that X is quasi-compact. (Hint: take an open cover, consider the complements, recall that $\bigcap V(\mathfrak{a}_i) = V(\sum \mathfrak{a}_i)$.)
 - (iii) (★) If A is a noetherian ring, prove that the underlying topological space of $\text{Spec } A$ is noetherian.
-

- (iv) (★★) More generally, prove that if X is a noetherian scheme, then its underlying topological space is noetherian. (Hint: use (iii) and reduce to prove that, in a topological space, the union of two noetherian open subsets is noetherian.)
- (v) Let k be a field and consider $A = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$, the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables over k . (★) Prove that A is not a noetherian ring. (★) Prove that the underlying topological space of $\text{Spec } A$ is not a noetherian topological space.
- (vi) Take $A = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ as before. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots)$. (★) Is A/\mathfrak{a} a noetherian ring? (★) What are the prime ideals of A/\mathfrak{a} ? (★) Find an example of a non-noetherian scheme such that its underlying topological space *is* noetherian.

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes is *quasi-compact* if there is a cover of Y by open affine subsets V_i such that $f^{-1}(V_i)$ is quasi-compact for each i .

- (vi) (★) If X is a noetherian scheme, then any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is quasi-compact.
- (vii) (★★) Show that f is quasi-compact if and only if for *every* open affine subset $V \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is quasi-compact. (Hint: use (ii). Suppose $V_i = \text{Spec } B_i$. Cover each $f^{-1}(V_i)$ with finitely many open affine subsets $\text{Spec } A_{ij}$. Cover V with finitely many distinguished open affine subsets $D(f_{ik})$, $f_{ik} \in B_i$. Prove that $f^{-1}(D(f_{ik}))$ is quasi-compact.)
- (viii) (★★) Show that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is of finite type if and only if it is locally of finite type and quasi-compact.
- (ix) (★★) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are two morphisms, f is quasi-compact, and $g \circ f$ is (locally) of finite type, then f is of finite type. (Hint: cover Z with open affine subsets $\text{Spec } C$, so that $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\text{Spec } C)$ is covered by $\text{Spec } A_i$, with each A_i a finitely generated C algebra. For each point in $g^{-1}(\text{Spec } C)$ choose an affine neighborhood $\text{Spec } B$ and cover $f^{-1}(\text{Spec } B) \cap \text{Spec } A_i$ with distinguished affine subsets $D(a_{ij})$, $a_{ij} \in A_i$. Let A_{ij} be $(A_i)_{a_{ij}}$. You have homomorphisms $C \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow A_{ij}$ and $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A_{ij}$. Deduce that each A_{ij} is a finitely generated B -algebra.)

3 Non-affine open subsets

- (i) (★) Let k be a field and consider $\mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$. Prove that the open subset $U = \mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is not affine. (Hint: recall that for an integral scheme X you can define a field $K = \mathcal{O}_\xi$, where ξ is the generic point; this is called the *function field* of X . If $\text{Spec } A$ is an open affine subset of X , then you can consider A as a *subring* of K . In fact, K is the quotient field of each A . In our situation U contains both $\text{Spec } k[x, y]_{(x)}$ and $\text{Spec } k[x, y]_{(y)}$ (what are these open subsets?). If U were affine...)
- (ii) (★★) Let X be a separated scheme over an affine scheme S . Let U and V be open affine subsets of X . Prove that $U \cap V$ is also affine. (Hint: prove that this diagram exists and is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \cap V & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 U \times_S V & \longrightarrow & X \times_S X
 \end{array}$$

then use the fact that closed immersions are stable under base change and the fact from RG3.)

- (iii) (★) Give an example to show that this fails if X is not separated.