# Distribution of eigenvalues of sample covariance matrices with tensor product samples

#### D. Tieplova

V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

12.09.2016

D. Tieplova

12. 09. 2016 1 / 18

## Outline

- Classical hermitian and real symmetric models.
- Global regime: NCM and CLT for LES.
- Main tools and approaches.
- Previous results.
- The problem and main result.
- The idea of proof.

## Introduction

Random matrices was initiated in the 1920s– 1930s by statisticians and introduced in physics in the 1950s– 1960s by Wigner and Dyson. They widely used in fields such:

- quantum field theory, quantum mechanics (quantum chaos)
- probability theory, statistics, statistical mechanics
- telecommunication theory
- combinatorics, operator theory, number theory
- etc...

## Examples

### Wigner ensemble [W:57]

*M* - hermitian or real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices

$$M_{ij}=n^{-1/2}W_{ij},$$

 $\{W_{ij}\}_{1 \le i \le j \le n}$ -i.i.d.random variables

$$E\{W_{ij}\} = 0, E\{|W_{ij}|^2\} = 1, E\{|W_{ii}|^2\} = 2,$$

Deformed Wigner ensemble [P:72]

$$M_{ij} = H^{(0)} + n^{-1/2} W_{ij},$$

where  $H^{(0)}$  some random or non random matrix independent on W.

Sample covariance ensemble [MP:67]:

 $M=n^{-1}B(YY^*)B,$ 

Y – real or complex  $n \times m$  matrix with i.i.d. entries  $Y_{ij}$ 

$$E\{Y_{ij}\} = 0, \quad E\{|Y_{ij}|^2\} = 1$$

 $B-n \times n$  positive matrix bounded uniformly in *n* and independent of *Y* 

Deformed sample covariance ensembles

$$M = H^{(0)} + n^{-1}B(Y + A)(Y + A)^*B,$$

where  $H^{(0)}$ , A and B > 0 do not depend on Y.

### Global regime: main objects and problems Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be random eigenvalues of our *M*.

Normalized Eigenvalue Counting Measure

 $N_n[\Delta] = \sharp\{\lambda_i \in \Delta\}/n$ 

Linear Eigenvalue Statistics corresponding to the test function h

$$\mathcal{N}_n[h] = \sum_{i=1}^n h(\lambda_i) = \int h(\lambda) \mathcal{N}_n(d\lambda)$$

#### Problems:

- Find  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E\{N_n[\Delta]\}$  or  $\lim_{n\to\infty} E\{n^{-1}\mathcal{N}_n[h]\};$
- Prove that Var{n<sup>-1</sup>N<sub>n</sub>[h]} → 0 as n → ∞ and find the rate of convergence;
- Find  $Cov{\mathcal{N}_n[h_1], \mathcal{N}_n[h_2]};$
- Prove CLT for the fluctuation of N<sub>n</sub>[h] for smooth test functions;
- Prove CLT for the fluctuation of N<sub>n</sub>[h] for indicators;

D. Tieplova

# Main approaches to the global regime: the moment and the resolvent one

Both approaches are based on the simple formula:

 $\mathcal{N}_n[h] = \operatorname{Tr} h(M)$ 

Moment approach

Set  $h_k(\lambda) = \lambda^k$ , then

$$\mathcal{N}_n[h_k] = \operatorname{Tr} M^k, \quad E\{\mathcal{N}_n[h_k]\} = E\{\operatorname{Tr} M^k\}$$
$$Cov\{\mathcal{N}_n[h_k], \mathcal{N}_n[h_m]\} = Cov\{\operatorname{Tr} M^k, \operatorname{Tr} M^m\}$$
$$E\{\operatorname{Tr} M^k\} = \int \lambda^k N_n(d\lambda)$$

So if we want to find the limit of  $E\{\mathcal{N}_n[h]\}$  it is sufficient to find limits of  $E\{\operatorname{Tr} M^k\}$ .

Historically the moment approach was the first one. But the resolvent approach provides more simple proofs.

D. Tieplova

## **Resolvent approach**

Consider any  $z : \Im z \neq 0$  and set  $h_z(\lambda) = (\lambda - z)^{-1}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_n[h_z] = \operatorname{Tr}(M - z)^{-1}, \quad E\{\mathcal{N}_n[h_z]\} = E\{\operatorname{Tr}(M - z)^{-1}\}$   $Cov\{\mathcal{N}_n[h_{z_1}], \mathcal{N}_n[h_{z_2}]\} = Cov\{\operatorname{Tr}(M - z_1)^{-1}, \operatorname{Tr}(M - z_2)^{-1}\}$ The Stieltjes transform  $f_n$  of  $N_n$ ,

$$f_n(z) = \int \frac{N_n(d\lambda)}{\lambda-z}, \quad \Im z \neq 0.$$

$$f_n(z) = n^{-1} E\{ \operatorname{Tr} G_M(z) \}, \quad G_M(z) = (M-z)^{-1}$$

There is the one-to-one correspondence between finite nonnegative measures and their Stieltjes transforms, so if we can find the limit of Stieltjes transforms  $f_n$  then we can find the limiting measure N.

## Zero level results: law of large numbers for LES

The sample covariance matrices can be written as follows

$$M=\sum_{\mu=1}^m B(Y^\mu\otimes Y^\mu)B.$$

In this case we can use the well-know formula

$$G_{M+Y\otimes \bar{Y}} = G_M - rac{G_M(Y\otimes \bar{Y})G_M}{1+(G_MY,Y)}.$$

It is naturally to expect that  $G_{M+Y\otimes \bar{Y}}$  and  $G_M$  are not very different. So with this formula we can find the equation on  $E\{\text{Tr}G\}$ . Commonly it convenient to use the similar identity

$$G_{pp} = -rac{1}{z+M_{pp}+(G^{(p)}m^{(p)},m^{(p)})},$$

where  $G^{(p)} = (M^{(p)} - z)^{-1}$ ,  $m^{(p)} = (M_{p,1}, ..., M_{p,p-1}, M_{p,p+1}, ..., M_{p,n})$ , and  $M^{(p)}$  denotes *M* without the *p*-th line and the *p*-th column.

#### Wigner ensembles theorems [W:58]

$$M_n = n^{-1/2} W_n$$

Denote by  $N_n$  the NCM of eigenvalues of  $W_n$ . Then  $N_n \xrightarrow{w} N$ , where N is non-random probability measure, and for the Stieltjes transform f of N we have:

$$f(z)=\frac{-z+\sqrt{z^2-4}}{2}$$

Deformed semicircle law [P:72]

$$M_n = H_n^{(0)} + n^{-1/2} W_n$$

Assume that the NCM  $N_n^{(0)}$  of  $H^{(0)}$  converges weakly to a nonnegative probability measure  $N^{(0)}$  (with the Stieltjes transform  $f^{(0)}$ ). Then

$$f(z) = f^{(0)}(z + f(z)).$$

Marchenko-Pastur theorem [MP:67]

 $M_n = n^{-1}B(YY^*)B.$ 

Denote by  $N_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of  $M_n$  and  $B^2$  respectively. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma,$$
  
$$m_n \to +\infty, \ n \to +\infty, \ c_n = m_n/n \to c \in [0, +\infty)$$

Then  $N_n$  converge weakly in probability to a non-random probability measure N. And the Stieltjes transform f of N is uniquely determined by the equation

$$f(z) = \left(\int \frac{\tau \sigma(d\tau)}{1 + \tau f(z)} - z\right)^{-1}$$

in the class of Stieltjes transforms of probability measures.

# **Previous results**

### Wigner ensemble

• L.Pastur(1972):

The convergence of the Normalized Counting Measures of W under the minimal conditions on the distribution of  $w_{ij}$  (the Lindeberg type conditions);

- A.Khorunzhy, B.Khoruzhenko, L.Pastur (1996): Covariance of traces of resolvents for Wigner matrices;
- Z.Bai, J.W.Silverstein (2004):
  CLT for polynomial test functions for some generalizations of the Wigner and sample covariance matrices with B ≠ I with κ<sub>4</sub> = 0;
- A.Lytova, L. Pastur (2009): CLT for Wigner and sample covariance matrix with B = I, κ<sub>4</sub> ≠ 0 and test functions possessing 5 derivatives;
- M.Shcherbina (2011): CLT for Wigner and sample covariance matrix with B = I,  $\kappa_4 \neq 0$  and test functions possessing 2 derivatives.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Sample covarience matrices

- J.W.Silverstein, R.B.Dozier (2004): The convergence of the Normalized Counting Measures of  $H = \frac{1}{N}(R_n + \sigma X_n)(R_n + \sigma X_n)^*;$
- Z.Bai, J.W.Silverstein (2004): CLT for sample covariance matrix with B ≠ I with κ<sub>4</sub> = 0 and analytic test functions;
- A.Lytova, L. Pastur (2009): CLT for Wigner and sample covariance matrix with B = I, κ<sub>4</sub> ≠ 0 and test functions possessing 5 derivatives;
- M.Shcherbina (2011): CLT for Wigner and sample covariance matrix with B = I,  $\kappa_4 \neq 0$  and test functions possessing 2 derivatives;
- J.Najim, J.Yao (2014): CLT for sample covariance matrix with B ≠ I and test functions possessing 5 derivatives.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Our model

Consider hermitian random matrices:

$$M_n=\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{\mu=1}^m X^\mu\otimes \bar X^\mu,$$

where  $X^{\mu} = B(Y^{\mu} \otimes Y^{\mu})$  (in standard model  $X^{\mu} = BY^{\mu}$ ) and  $\{Y^{\mu}\}_{\mu=1}^{m}$  are i.i.d. random vectors from  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ , such that:

$$E\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\} = E\{Y_{i}^{\mu}Y_{k}^{\nu}\} = 0, E\{Y_{i}^{\mu}\bar{Y}_{k}^{\mu}\} = \delta_{ik}.$$

 $B = \{B_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}\}$  is an  $n^2 \times n^2$  hermitian matrix, where  $\overline{i} = (i_1, i_2)$   $\overline{j} = (j_1, j_2)$  are multi-indexe. Introduce the  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix

$$J_{\bar{p},\bar{q}} = \delta_{\bar{p}\,\bar{q}} + \delta_{\bar{p}'\bar{q}},$$

where  $\bar{p}' = (p_2, p_1)$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Theorem

Denote by  $N_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of  $M_n$  and *BJB* respectively. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma,$$
  
$$m_n \to +\infty, \ n \to +\infty, \ c_n = m_n/n^2 \to c \in [0, +\infty).$$

Then  $N_n$  converge weakly in probability to a non-random probability measure N. And if  $f^{(0)}$  is the Stieltjes transform of  $\sigma$ , then the Stieltjes transform f of N is uniquely determined by the equation

$$f(z) = f^{(0)}\left(\frac{z}{c - zf(z) - 1}\right)(c - zf(z) - 1)^{-1}$$

in the class of Stieltjes transforms of probability measures.

# The idea of proof (1)

We use the resolvent method. So denote

$$G = (M_n - z)^{-1}, \ G^{\mu} = G \mid_{X^{\mu} = 0}.$$

In our case:

$$G=G^{\mu}-n^{-2}rac{G^{\mu}(X^{\mu}\otimes ar{X}^{\mu})G^{\mu}}{1+n^{-2}(G^{\mu}X^{\mu},X^{\mu})}.$$

This imply that for any matrix K:

$$n^{-2}$$
Tr(KGM) =  $n^{-4} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \frac{(KG^{\mu}X^{\mu}, X^{\mu})}{1 + n^{-2}(G^{\mu}X^{\mu}, X^{\mu})}$ .

On the next step we want to find the mathematical expectation of both sides. Since  $G^{\mu}$  and  $X^{\mu}$  are independent

$$E_{\mu}\{(KG^{\mu}X^{\mu},X^{\mu})\}=\mathrm{Tr}(KG^{\mu}BJB).$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# The idea of proof (2)

It's convenient to find expectation separately of numerator and denominator. For this we need to prove that for any bounded matrices K:

$$Var\{n^{-2}(KG^{\mu}X^{\mu},X^{\mu})\}=o(1), n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This part of the proof is the most difficult (algebraically) because of the special form of our matrices.

To replace  $G^{\mu}$  with G we need the next expression:

$$|n^{-2}|\text{Tr}K(G-G^{\mu})| = O(n^{-2})$$

which holds for any bounded matrix K.

## The idea of proof (3)

At the end we need to prove that

$$Var\{n^{-2}\mathrm{Tr}KG\}\leq rac{c}{n^2}$$

These facts we prove using standard scheme which can be used for many different ensembles.

Above relations imply that for any bounded non-random matrix K:

$$\frac{1}{n^2}E\{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathsf{K}\mathsf{G}\mathsf{M})\}=\frac{c_nn^{-2}E\{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathsf{K}\mathsf{G}\mathsf{B}\mathsf{J}\mathsf{B})\}}{1+n^{-2}E\{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathsf{G}\mathsf{B}\mathsf{J}\mathsf{B})\}}+o(1),\ n\to\infty.$$

Taking

$$K = (c_n(1 + n^{-2}E{Tr(GBJB)})^{-1}BJB - z)^{-1}$$

and K = I, we obtain

$$f_n(z) = f_n^{(0)} \left( \frac{z}{c_n - z f_n(z) - 1} \right) (c_n - z f_n(z) - 1)^{-1} + o(1).$$