

Figure 5.8: Example D, parallel arrangement of $m$ S-boxes $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{m}$ of width $q$

### 5.6 Parallel Arrangement of S-Boxes

The round map of an SP-network usually involves several "small" S-boxes in a parallel arrangement. On order to analyze the effect of this construction we again consider a simple example D, see Figure 5.8.

Proposition 8 Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{q}$ be Boolean maps, $n=m \cdot q$, and $f$, the Boolean map

$$
f: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left(S_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, S_{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \text { for } x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{q}
$$

Let $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ be linear relations for $S_{i}$ with probabilities $p_{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) & =\alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{m}\left(x_{m}\right) \\
\beta\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) & =\beta_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots+\beta_{m}\left(y_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(\alpha, \beta)$ is a linear relation for $f$ with probability $p$ given by

$$
2 p-1=\left(2 p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(2 p_{m}-1\right) .
$$

Proof. We consider the case $m=2$ only; the general case follows by a simple induction as for Proposition 7.

In the case $m=2$ we have $\beta \circ f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ if and only if

- either $\beta_{1} \circ \mathrm{~S}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\beta_{2} \circ \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$
- or $\beta_{1} \circ \mathrm{~S}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1+\alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\beta_{2} \circ \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=1+\alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$.

Hence $p=p_{1} p_{2}+\left(1-p_{1}\right)\left(1-p_{2}\right)$, and the assertion follows as for Proposition 6.

As a consequence the I/O-correlations and the potentials are multiplicative also for a parallel arrangement. At first view this might seem a strengthening of the security, but this appearance is deceiving! We cannot detain the attacker from choosing all linear forms as zeroes except the "best" one. And the zero forms have probabilities $p_{i}=1$ and potentials 1. Hence the attacker picks a pair $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right)$ with maximum potential, and then sets $\alpha\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\alpha_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and $\beta\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\beta_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)$. In a certain sense she turns the other S-boxes, except $S_{j}$, "inactive". Then the complete linear relation inherits exactly the probability and the potential of the "active" S-box $S_{j}$.

## Example

Once again we consider a concrete example with $m=2$ and $q=4$, hence $n=8$. As S-boxes we take the ones from Lucifer, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ at the left, and $S_{1}$ at the right, see Figure 5.8. For the left $S$-box $S_{0}$ we take the linear relation with $\alpha \hat{=} 0001$ and $\beta \hat{=} 1101$, that we know has probability $p_{1}=\frac{7}{8}$, for the right $S$-Box $S_{1}$ we take the relation $(0,0)$ with probability 1. The combined linear relation for $f=\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ then also has probability $p=\frac{7}{8}$ and potential $\lambda=\frac{9}{16}$, and we know that linear cryptanalysis with $N=5$ pairs of plaintext and ciphertext has $95 \%$ success probability. We decompose all relevant bitblocks into bits:
plaintext: $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{7}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{8}$,
ciphertext: $c=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{7}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{8}$,
key: $k=\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{15}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{16}$ where $\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{7}\right)$ serves as "initial key" (corresponding to $k^{(0)}$ in Figure 5.8), and $\left(k_{8}, \ldots, k_{15}\right)$ as "final key" (corresponding to $\left.k^{(1)}\right)$.

Then $\alpha(a)=a_{3}, \beta(c)=c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}$, and $\kappa(k)=\alpha\left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{7}\right)+$ $\beta\left(k_{8}, \ldots, k_{15}\right)=k_{3}+k_{8}+k_{9}+k_{11}$. Hence the target relation is

$$
k_{3}+k_{8}+k_{9}+k_{11}=a_{3}+c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}
$$

We use the key $k=1001011000101110$ whose relevant bit is $k_{3}+k_{8}+$ $k_{9}+k_{11}=1$, and generate five random pairs of plaintext and ciphertext, see Table 5.11. We see that for this example Matsui's algorithm guesses the relevant key bit correctly with no dissentient.

| $a$ | $a_{3}$ | $c$ | $c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}$ | estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00011110 | 1 | 00000010 | 0 | 1 |
| 00101100 | 0 | 00111111 | 1 | 1 |
| 10110010 | 1 | 01011101 | 0 | 1 |
| 10110100 | 1 | 01010000 | 0 | 1 |
| 10110101 | 1 | 01010111 | 0 | 1 |

Table 5.11: Calculations for example D

