

# The Clebsch-Gordan Isomorphism

Klaus Pommerening  
Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität  
Mainz, Germany

August 2017  
Last change: November 25, 2017

## 1 Some Differential Calculus

Let  $k$  be a commutative ring with 1. (However the main results require  $k$  to be a field of characteristic 0.) Let  $R = k[X]$  be the polynomial ring in the indeterminates  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . The ring  $R$  is graded by the degree of a polynomial:

$$R = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} R_d.$$

Let  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  be another set of indeterminates and  $S = k[X, Y]$  the extended polynomial ring. It is bigraded by the degrees in  $X$  and  $Y$ :

$$S = \bigoplus_{d, e \in \mathbb{N}} S_{de}.$$

In a natural way  $S_{d0} = R_d$ .

We consider the derivation

$$D: S \longrightarrow S, \quad DF = Y_1 \partial_1 F + \dots + Y_n \partial_n F = \sum_{\nu=1}^n Y_\nu \partial_\nu F,$$

where  $\partial_\nu$  is the derivation by  $X_\nu$ . The effect of  $D$  on a monomial

$$(1) \quad F = X^\alpha Y^\beta = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} Y_1^{\beta_1} \dots Y_n^{\beta_n}$$

is

$$DF = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \alpha_\nu \frac{Y_\nu}{X_\nu} F.$$

This expression seems to be in the quotient ring  $S[1/X_1, \dots, 1/X_n]$ , however the denominators cancel out except in the term with coefficient  $\alpha_\nu = 0$ . The effect of  $D$  is “replace one factor  $X_\nu$  by  $\alpha_\nu Y_\nu$ , for each  $\nu$ .”

Sometimes (for  $d \geq 1$ ) we use an explicit denomination for the restriction

$$D_{de} = D|_{S_{de}} : S_{de} \longrightarrow S_{d-1,e+1},$$

in particular

$$D_{d0} : R_d \longrightarrow S_{d-1,1}.$$

**Remark** We'll occasionally encounter the simpler differential operator  $R \longrightarrow R$ ,  $f \mapsto X_1 \partial_1 f + \cdots + X_n \partial_n f$ . Its effect on the homogeneous part  $R_d$  is simply multiplication by the integer  $d$ , as is easily seen by applying it to the monomials  $X^\alpha$ . (See also Proposition 2.)

The group  $G = GL_n(k)$  of invertible  $n \times n$ -matrices acts on the variables  $X$  and  $Y$  separately. The actions on  $S_{10}$  and  $S_{01}$  are linear and correspond to the contragredient action of the natural action on  $k^n$ . These actions of  $G$  extend to  $S$ , resulting in a group of  $k$ -algebra automorphisms.

**Proposition 1** *The derivation  $D$  is  $G$ -equivariant. In other words*

$$D(g \cdot F) = g \cdot DF$$

for all  $g \in G$  and  $F \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let the linear action of  $g \in G$  on the indeterminates be given by the equations

$$g \cdot X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \quad \text{and} \quad g \cdot Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} Y_j$$

Since  $D$  is  $k$ -linear it suffices to prove the assertion for monomials. So let  $F$  be given by equation (1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot F &= (g \cdot X_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (g \cdot X_n)^{\alpha_n} (g \cdot Y_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (g \cdot Y_n)^{\beta_n}, \\ D(g \cdot F) &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n Y_\nu \partial_\nu \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (g \cdot X_i)^{\alpha_i} \right) \prod_{s=1}^n (g \cdot Y_s)^{\beta_s} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n Y_\nu \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (g \cdot X_i)^{\alpha_i-1} a_{i\nu} \prod_{t \neq i} (g \cdot X_t)^{\alpha_t} \right] \prod_{s=1}^n (g \cdot Y_s)^{\beta_s} \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^n (g \cdot X_t)^{\alpha_t} \prod_{s=1}^n (g \cdot Y_s)^{\beta_s} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_{i\nu} \frac{Y_\nu}{g \cdot X_i} \right] \\ &= (g \cdot F) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{1}{g \cdot X_i} \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{i\nu} Y_\nu \right] \\ &= (g \cdot F) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{g \cdot Y_i}{g \cdot X_i} \right] = g \cdot \left( F \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{Y_i}{X_i} \right) = g \cdot DF. \end{aligned}$$

◇

The substitution  $Y \mapsto X$  defines a  $G$ -equivariant  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\sigma: S \rightarrow R$  with  $\sigma(S_{de}) = R_{d+e}$ . For a monomial  $F = X^\alpha Y^\beta \in S_{de}$  (with  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = d$ ,  $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n = e$ ) we have

$$(\sigma \circ D)F = \sum_{\nu=1}^n X_\nu (\partial_\nu F)(X, X) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \alpha_\nu F(X, X) = dF(X, X)$$

(where  $d$  denotes the integer, not a differential). Since  $D$  and  $\sigma$  both are linear this equality holds for arbitrary  $F \in S_{de}$ :

**Proposition 2**  $\sigma \circ D = d\sigma$  on  $S_{de}$  for all  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In other words the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{de} & \xrightarrow{D} & S_{d-1, e+1} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ R_{d+e} & \xrightarrow{d \cdot \mathbf{1}} & R_{d+e} \end{array}$$

**Corollary 1**  $\sigma \circ D^i = \frac{d!}{(d-i)!} \sigma$  on  $S_{de}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and all  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The proof consists of the following commutative diagram: ◇

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S_{de} & \xrightarrow{D} & S_{d-1, e+1} & \xrightarrow{D} & \dots & \xrightarrow{D} & S_{d-i, e+i} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma & & & & \downarrow \sigma \\ R_{d+e} & \xrightarrow{d \cdot \mathbf{1}} & R_{d+e} & \xrightarrow{(d-1) \cdot \mathbf{1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{(d-i+1) \cdot \mathbf{1}} & R_{d+e} \end{array}$$

## 2 The Clebsch-Gordan Isomorphism

Assume  $n = 2$ . Thus  $G = GL_2(k)$  consists of the  $2 \times 2$ -matrices whose determinant is invertible in  $k$ . We use the distinguished polynomial

$$\Delta = X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1 \in S_{11}.$$

**Lemma 1** For  $g \in GL_2(k)$  we have  $g \cdot \Delta = \frac{1}{\det g} \Delta$ , in other words,  $\Delta$  is a relative invariant of weight  $-1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$  with determinant  $\delta = \det g = ad - bc \in k^\times$ , the multiplicative group of invertible elements of  $k$ . The explicit formulas for the action on the indeterminates are

$$g \cdot X_1 = \frac{d}{\delta}X_1 - \frac{b}{\delta}X_2, \quad g \cdot X_2 = -\frac{c}{\delta}X_1 + \frac{a}{\delta}X_2,$$

and analogously for  $Y_1, Y_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \Delta &= (g \cdot X_1)(g \cdot Y_2) - (g \cdot X_2)(g \cdot Y_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} [(dX_1 - bX_2)(-cY_1 + aY_2) - (-cX_1 + aX_2)(dY_1 - bY_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} [0X_1Y_1 + \delta X_1Y_2 - \delta X_2Y_1 + 0X_2Y_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

◇

We assume  $d \geq e$  and  $0 \leq i \leq e$ . For  $f \in R_{d+e-2i}$  we have  $D^{e-i}f \in S_{d-i, e-i}$ . Multiplying by  $\Delta^i \in S_{ii}$  we get

$$\Delta^i D^{e-i}f \in S_{de} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, e.$$

This defines linear maps

$$\varphi_i: R_{d+e-2i} \longrightarrow S_{de}, \quad f \mapsto \Delta^i D^{e-i}f.$$

**Proposition 3** *The maps  $\varphi_i$  are relatively  $G$ -equivariant of weight  $i$ , that is*

$$\varphi_i(g \cdot f) = (\det g)^i g \cdot \varphi_i(f)$$

for all  $g \in GL_2(k)$  and  $f \in R_{d+e-2i}$ .

*Proof.* For  $g \in GL_2(k)$  with  $\delta = \det g$  and  $f \in R_{d+e-2i}$  we have

$$g \cdot \varphi_i(f) = (g \cdot \Delta^i)(g \cdot D^{e-i}f) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta^i} \Delta^i\right) D^{e-i}(g \cdot f) = \frac{1}{\delta^i} \varphi_i(g \cdot f)$$

by Lemma 1 and Proposition 1. ◇

**Remark** The maps  $\varphi_i$  seem to be artificial constructs. However they are equivariant for  $SL_2(k)$  and thus embed some (irreducible if  $k$  is a field of characteristic 0)  $SL_2$ -modules of type  $R_j$  into the  $SL_2$ -module  $S_{de} \cong R_d \otimes R_e$ .

We combine the maps  $\varphi_i$  and get the Clebsch-Gordan map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi: R_{d+e} \oplus R_{d+e-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{d-e} &\longrightarrow S_{de}, \\ \Phi(f_0, \dots, f_e) &= \varphi_0(f_0) + \cdots + \varphi_e(f_e).\end{aligned}$$

We know that  $\Phi$  is linear and  $SL_2$ -equivariant.

**Theorem 1 (Sylvester 1878)** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. Then the Clebsch-Gordan map  $\Phi$  is an  $SL_2$ -equivariant isomorphism.*

*Proof.* (Springer [4]) The dimensions are equal:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(R_{d+e} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{d-e}) &= (d+e+1) + \cdots + (d-e+1) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^e (d+e+1-2i) = (e+1)(d+e+1) - 2 \sum_{i=0}^e i \\ &= (e+1)(d+e+1) - e(e+1) \\ &= (d+1)(e+1) = \dim S_{de}\end{aligned}$$

It only remains to prove that  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $(f_0, \dots, f_e) \in \ker \Phi$ . Thus

$$(2) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=0}^e \Delta^i D^{e-i} f_i.$$

The substitution homomorphism  $\sigma: Y \mapsto X$  yields  $\sigma \Delta^i = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ , and we get

$$0 = (\sigma \circ D^e) f_0 = e! \sigma f_0 = e! f_0$$

after applying  $e$  times Proposition 2. This implies  $f_0 = 0$ .

Now in Equation (2) one factor  $\Delta$  cancels out, leaving

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^e \Delta^{i-1} D^{e-i} f_i.$$

The same reasoning shows that  $(e-1)! f_1 = 0$ , or  $f_1 = 0$ .

Proceeding by induction we conclude that all  $f_i = 0$  for  $i = 0, \dots, e$ . Thus the kernel of  $\Phi$  contains 0 only.  $\diamond$

The theorem describes (in characteristic 0) the decomposition of the  $SL_2$ -module  $S_{de} \cong R_d \otimes R_e$  into irreducible components. In a more old-fashioned way it may be expressed as

**Corollary 2** *Each  $F \in S_{de}$  has a unique decomposition*

$$F(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^e \Delta(X, Y)^i (D^{e-i} f_i)(X, Y)$$

with  $f_i \in R_{d+e-2i}$ .

This expression involves the powers of the differential operator  $D$ . Here is a formula for their effect on monomials:

**Proposition 4** *The power  $D^j$  of  $D : S \rightarrow S$  acts on the monomial  $X_1^r X_2^s Y_1^t Y_2^u$  by the formula*

$$D^j(X_1^r X_2^s Y_1^t Y_2^u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^j \binom{j}{\nu} \frac{r!}{(r-j+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu}.$$

*Proof.* The formula is obviously true for  $j = 0$ . Proceeding by induction we assume that it is true for  $j - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^j(X_1^r X_2^s Y_1^t Y_2^u) &= D(D^{j-1}(X_1^r X_2^s Y_1^t Y_2^u)) \\ &= D \left[ \sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{\nu} \frac{r!}{(r-j+1+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+1+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-1-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} \left[ \binom{j-1}{\nu} (r-j+1+\nu) \frac{r!}{(r-j+1+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{j-1}{\nu} (s-\nu) \frac{r!}{(r-j+1+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+1+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu-1} Y_1^{t+j-1-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu+1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{\nu} \frac{r!}{(r-j+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^j \binom{j-1}{\nu-1} \frac{r!}{(r-j+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^j \left[ \binom{j-1}{\nu} + \binom{j-1}{\nu-1} \right] \frac{r!}{(r-j+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^j \binom{j}{\nu} \frac{r!}{(r-j+\nu)!} \frac{s!}{(s-\nu)!} X_1^{r-j+\nu} X_2^{s-\nu} Y_1^{t+j-\nu} Y_2^{u+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

◇

### 3 Cayley's $\Omega$ -Operator

The  $f_i$  in Corollary 2 have an explicit description in terms of  $F$  using a suitable differential operator. The corresponding formula was already given by Cayley [1] in 1856, and proved by Sylvester [6], see Corollary 5 below.

We continue with  $n = 2$  (although  $\Omega$  makes sense for arbitrary  $n$ ). We consider the ring  $S = k[X, Y] = k[X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2]$  and denote the partial

derivatives with respect to  $X_i$  by  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial X_i$  and with respect to  $Y_i$  by  $\tilde{\partial}_i = \partial/\partial Y_i$ . Then we define the differential operator

$$\Omega: S \longrightarrow S \quad \text{as} \quad \Omega = \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 - \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2.$$

Note that the partial derivatives commute. Obviously the operator  $\Omega$  is  $k$ -linear, and  $\Omega(S_{de}) \subseteq S_{d-1, e-1}$ . Its effect on a product yields a somewhat obscure formula (that however in certain special situations will turn out as useful): Let  $F_1, F_2 \in S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(F_1 F_2) &= \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(F_1 F_2) - \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2(F_1 F_2) \\ &= \partial_1(\tilde{\partial}_2(F_1)F_2 + F_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(F_2)) - \tilde{\partial}_1(\partial_2(F_1)F_2 + F_1 \partial_2(F_2)) \\ &= \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(F_1)F_2 + \tilde{\partial}_2(F_1)\partial_1(F_2) + \partial_1(F_1)\tilde{\partial}_2(F_2) + F_1 \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(F_2) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2(F_1)F_2 - \partial_2(F_1)\tilde{\partial}_1(F_2) - \tilde{\partial}_1(F_1)\partial_2(F_2) - F_1 \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2(F_2) \end{aligned}$$

Collecting similar terms we get the product rule for  $\Omega$ , statement (i) of the following lemma:

**Lemma 2** (i) For  $F_1, F_2 \in S$

$$\Omega(F_1 F_2) = \Omega(F_1) F_2 + F_1 \Omega(F_2) + \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 F_1 & \tilde{\partial}_1 F_2 \\ \partial_2 F_1 & \tilde{\partial}_2 F_2 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\partial}_1 F_1 & \partial_1 F_2 \\ \tilde{\partial}_2 F_1 & \partial_2 F_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

(ii)  $\Omega(\Delta^i) = i(i+1)\Delta^{i-1} \in S_{i-1, i-1}$ , in particular  $\Omega(\Delta) = 2 \in S_{00} = k$ .

(iii) For  $F \in S_{de}$

$$\Omega(\Delta^i F) = i(d+e+i+1)\Delta^{i-1}F + \Delta^i \Omega(F)$$

(iv) For  $f \in R_d$

$$\Omega(\Delta^i f) = i(d+i+1)\Delta^{i-1}f$$

*Proof.* (ii) For  $F_1 = \Delta^i$  we get (remember  $\Delta = X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(F_1) &= iY_2\Delta^{i-1} & \tilde{\partial}_1(F_1) &= -iX_2\Delta^{i-1} \\ \partial_2(F_1) &= -iY_1\Delta^{i-1} & \tilde{\partial}_2(F_1) &= iX_1\Delta^{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(F_1) &= \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(F_1) - \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2(F_1) = \partial_1(iX_1\Delta^{i-1}) + \tilde{\partial}_1(iY_1\Delta^{i-1}) \\ &= i\Delta^{i-1} + i(i-1)X_1Y_2\Delta^{i-2} + i\Delta^{i-1} - i(i-1)X_2Y_1\Delta^{i-2} \\ &= 2i\Delta^{i-1} + i(i-1)[X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1]\Delta^{i-2} = i(i+1)\Delta^{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For  $F_1 = \Delta^i$  and  $F_2 = F \in S_{de}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 F_1 & \tilde{\partial}_1 F_2 \\ \partial_2 F_1 & \tilde{\partial}_2 F_2 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\partial}_1 F_1 & \partial_1 F_2 \\ \tilde{\partial}_2 F_1 & \partial_2 F_2 \end{vmatrix} &= iY_2 \Delta^{i-1} \tilde{\partial}_2 F + iY_1 \Delta^{i-1} \tilde{\partial}_1 F \\ &\quad - (-iX_2 \Delta^{i-1} \partial_2 F - iX_1 \Delta^{i-1} \partial_1 F) \\ &= i\Delta^{i-1} [X_1 \partial_1 + X_2 \partial_2 + Y_1 \tilde{\partial}_1 + Y_2 \tilde{\partial}_2](F) \\ &= i(d+e) \Delta^{i-1} F \end{aligned}$$

using the remark in Section 1. Combining (i) and (ii) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\Delta^i F) &= \Omega(\Delta^i) F + \Delta^i \Omega(F) + |\dots| - |\dots| \\ &= i(d+e+i+1) \Delta^{i-1} F + \Delta^i \Omega(F) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) follows from (iii) setting  $e = 0$  and using  $\Omega(f) = 0$ .  $\diamond$

We are going to prove that  $\Omega$  is relatively equivariant for the action of  $G = GL_2(k)$ . To this end we again consider an element  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$  with determinant  $\delta = \det g = ad - bc$ . Its effect on a polynomial  $F \in k[X, Y]$  is

$$(g \cdot F)(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = F(g \cdot X, g \cdot Y)$$

where

$$(g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) = \left( \frac{d}{\delta} X_1 - \frac{b}{\delta} X_2, -\frac{c}{\delta} X_1 + \frac{a}{\delta} X_2, \frac{d}{\delta} Y_1 - \frac{b}{\delta} Y_2, -\frac{c}{\delta} Y_1 + \frac{a}{\delta} Y_2 \right)$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_2(g \cdot F) &= \left[ -\frac{b}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_1 F + \frac{a}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_2 F \right] (g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ \partial_2(g \cdot F) &= \left[ -\frac{b}{\delta} \partial_1 F + \frac{a}{\delta} \partial_2 F \right] (g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2(g \cdot F) &= \left[ -\frac{b}{\delta} \frac{d}{\delta} \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_1 F + \frac{b}{\delta} \frac{c}{\delta} \partial_2 \tilde{\partial}_1 F + \frac{a}{\delta} \frac{d}{\delta} \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 F - \frac{a}{\delta} \frac{c}{\delta} \partial_2 \tilde{\partial}_2 F \right] (g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2(g \cdot F) &= \left[ -\frac{b}{\delta} \frac{d}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_1 F + \frac{b}{\delta} \frac{c}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F + \frac{a}{\delta} \frac{d}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F - \frac{a}{\delta} \frac{c}{\delta} \tilde{\partial}_2 \partial_2 F \right] (g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ \Omega(g \cdot F) &= \left[ \frac{ad}{\delta^2} \partial_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 F - \frac{bc}{\delta^2} \tilde{\partial}_2 \partial_1 F + \frac{bc}{\delta^2} \partial_2 \tilde{\partial}_1 F - \frac{ad}{\delta^2} \tilde{\partial}_1 \partial_2 F \right] (g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \Omega F(g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} g \cdot \Omega F. \end{aligned}$$

This last equation may be stated as follows:



**Proposition 5** *The operator  $\Omega$  is relatively equivariant for the action of  $G = GL_2(k)$  with weight  $-1$ .*

**Corollary 3** *The operator  $\Omega^i$  is relatively equivariant for the action of  $G = GL_2(k)$  with weight  $-i$ .*

We again assume  $d \geq e$ . Then for each  $i = 0, \dots, e$  we have a pair of relatively  $G$ -equivariant linear maps

$$\varphi_i: R_{d+e-2i} \longrightarrow S_{de}, \quad f \mapsto \Delta^i D^{e-i} f$$

of weight  $i$ , and

$$S_{de} \xrightarrow{\Omega^i} S_{d-i, e-i} \xrightarrow{\sigma} R_{d+e-2i}$$

of weight  $-i$ . This suggests the questions: What is

- $\eta_i = (\sigma \circ \Omega^i) \circ \varphi_i$  on  $R_{d+e-2i}$ ?
- $\psi_i = \varphi_i \circ (\sigma \circ \Omega^i)$  on  $S_{de}$ ?

Note that  $\eta_i$  and  $\psi_i$  are  $G$ -equivariant.

**Examples** Let us start with the easy cases of  $\eta_i$ .

1. For  $i = 0$  we have to consider  $\eta_0 = \sigma \circ \Omega^0 \circ \varphi_0 = \sigma \circ D^e$  on  $R_{d+e} \subseteq S_{d+e, 0}$ . This was calculated in Corollary 1 of Proposition 2:  $\sigma \circ D^e = \frac{(d+e)!}{d!} \mathbf{1}$  on  $S_{d+e, 0}$ . Hence

$$\eta_0(f) = \frac{(d+e)!}{d!} f \quad \text{for all } f \in R_{d+e}.$$

2. For  $i = 1$  we have to consider  $\eta_1 = \sigma \circ \Omega \circ \varphi_1$  on  $R_{d+e-2} \subseteq S_{d+e-2, 0}$ .

$$R_{d+e-2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S_{de} \xrightarrow{\Omega} S_{d-1, e-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} R_{d+e-2}$$

where  $\varphi_1(f) = \Delta D^{e-1} f$ , and  $D^{e-1} f \in S_{d-1, e-1}$ . Applying Lemma 2 (iii) with  $i = 1$  we get

$$\Omega(\varphi_1 f) = (d+e) D^{e-1} f + \Delta \Omega(D^{e-1} f).$$

Using  $\sigma(\Delta) = 0$  and the formula for  $\sigma \circ D^{e-1}$  from Corollary 1 of Proposition 2 this results in

$$\eta_1(f) = \sigma(\Omega(\varphi_1 f)) = (d+e) \sigma(D^{e-1} f) = (d+e) \frac{(d+e-2)!}{(d-1)!} f.$$

In both examples  $\eta_i$  is the identity map up to an integer factor. This observation generalizes to:

**Theorem 2** For all  $d, e, i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq e \leq d$ ,

$$\eta_i = \gamma_{dei} \mathbf{1} \quad \text{on } R_{d+e-2i}$$

where  $\gamma_{dei} \in \mathbb{Z}$  is given by the formula

$$\gamma_{dei} = \frac{i!}{(d-i)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{d+e-2i+1}.$$

The proof follows. Note that the coefficients  $\gamma_{dei}$  are integers, so the result is true over an arbitrary commutative ring  $k$ . However in this general case many of the  $\gamma_{dei}$  may be 0.

In the general case  $\eta_i$  is the composition

$$\begin{array}{c} R_{d+e-2i} \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} S_{de} \xrightarrow{\Omega^i} S_{d-i, e-i} \xrightarrow{\sigma} R_{d+e-2i} \\ f \mapsto \Delta^i D^{e-i} f \end{array}$$

Since  $D^{e-i} f \in S_{d-i, e-i}$  the first application of  $\Omega$  yields (by Lemma 2)

$$\Omega(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) = i(d+e-i+1) \Delta^{i-1} D^{e-i} f + \Delta^i \Omega(D^{e-i} f)$$

Applying  $\Omega$  iteratively  $i$  times, the second term on the righthand side becomes confusing. Fortunately we don't need to bother with it due to the following lemma:

**Lemma 3** Let  $f \in R_{d+e-2i}$ . Then for each  $j = 0, \dots, i$  there is an  $F_j \in S_{d-i-j, e-i-j}$  such that

$$\Omega^j(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) = \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-i+1-j)!} \Delta^{i-j} D^{e-i} f + \Delta^{i-j+1} F_j.$$

*Proof.* For  $j = 0$  the assertion holds with  $F_0 = 0$ .

Now assume that  $j \geq 1$ , and by induction that the assertion is proved for  $j-1$  instead of  $j$ . That is

$$\Omega^{j-1}(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) = \frac{i!}{(i-j+1)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-i+2-j)!} \Delta^{i-j+1} D^{e-i} f + \Delta^{i-j+2} F_{j-1}.$$

Applying  $\Omega$  to this equation and using (iii) of Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^j(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) &= \frac{i!}{(i-j+1)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-i+2-j)!} \Omega(\Delta^{i-j+1} D^{e-i} f) \\ &\quad + \Omega(\Delta^{i-j+2} F_{j-1}) \\ &= \frac{i!}{(i-j+1)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-i+2-j)!} \\ &\quad \times [(i-j+1)(d-i+e-i+i-j+2) \Delta^{i-j} D^{e-i} f + \Delta^{i-j+1} \Omega(D^{e-i} f)] \\ &\quad + [(i-j+2)(d-i+e-i+i-j+1) \Delta^{i-j+1} F_{j-1} + \Delta^{i-j+2} \Omega(F_{j-1})] \end{aligned}$$

The first (of four) summands yields

$$\frac{i!}{(i-j)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-i+1-j)!} \Delta^{i-j} D^{e-i} f.$$

The remaining three summands, up to integer multiples, are

$$\Delta^{i-j+1} \Omega(D^{e-i} f), \quad \Delta^{i-j+1} F_{j-1}, \quad \Delta^{i-j+1} \Delta \Omega(F_{j-1}),$$

and

$$\Omega(D^{e-i} f), \quad F_{j-1}, \quad \Delta \Omega(F_{j-1})$$

are in  $S_{d-i-1, e-i-1}$ .  $\diamond$

For the *proof of the theorem* we apply the lemma with  $j = i$  and get

$$\Omega^i(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) = i! \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-2i+1)!} D^{e-i} f + \Delta F_i.$$

Using  $\sigma(\Delta) = 0$  and Corollary 1 of Proposition 2 we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i(f) &= \sigma \circ \Omega^i(\Delta^i D^{e-i} f) = i! \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{(d+e-2i+1)!} \frac{(d+e-2i)!}{(d-i)!} f + 0 \\ &= \frac{i!}{(d-i)!} \frac{(d+e-i+1)!}{d+e-2i+1} f, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\diamond$

**Examples** The formula in the theorem reproduces the values  $\gamma_{de0}$  and  $\gamma_{de1}$  from above. As another example take

$$\gamma_{dee} = \frac{e!}{(d-e)!} \frac{(d+1)!}{d-e+1} = \frac{e!(d+1)!}{(d-e+1)!}$$

We might also look at the compositions

$$R_{d+e-2i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} S_{de} \xrightarrow{\Omega^j} S_{d-j, e-j} \xrightarrow{\sigma} R_{d+e-2j}$$

for  $j \neq i$ . In the case  $j < i$  we use Lemma 3 (and abbreviate the integer coefficient by  $c$ ):

$$\Omega^j(\varphi_i(f)) = c \Delta^{i-j} D^{e-i} f + \Delta^{i-j+1} F_j = \Delta(\dots) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0.$$

Furthermore since  $f$  is independent from the indeterminates  $Y$  we have

$$\Omega(\Omega^i(\varphi_i(f))) = \Omega(c' f) = 0,$$

hence  $\Omega^j(\varphi_i(f)) = 0$  for  $j > i$ . This proves:

**Corollary 4** For  $d, e, i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq e \leq d$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq e$ ,  $j \neq i$ ,

$$\sigma \circ \Omega^j \circ \varphi_i = 0 \quad \text{on } R_{d+e-2i}.$$

Now, if  $k$  is a field of characteristic 0, by Corollary 2 (or Theorem 1) each  $F \in S_{de}$  has a unique decomposition as

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^e \varphi_i(f_i) \quad \text{with } f_i \in R_{d+e-2i}.$$

Theorem 2 and Corollary 4 allow to express the  $f_i$  in terms of  $F$ : For  $j = 0, \dots, e$  we conclude that

$$\sigma \circ \Omega^j(F) = \sum_{i=0}^e \sigma \circ \Omega^j \circ \varphi_i(f_i) = \eta_j(f_j) = \gamma_{dej} f_j.$$

Hence  $f_j = \sigma \circ \Omega^j(F) / \gamma_{dej}$ , if  $\gamma_{dej} \in k^\times$ , thus

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{1}{\gamma_{dei}} \varphi_i \circ \sigma \circ \Omega^i(F).$$

We have proved

**Corollary 5 (Cayley-Sylvester)** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. Then each  $F \in S_{de}$  decomposes as

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{1}{\gamma_{dei}} \psi_i(F)$$

where  $\psi_i(F) = \Delta^i D^{e-i}(\sigma \circ \Omega^i(F)) \in \varphi_i(R_{d+e-2i})$ .

**Examples** Let us look at the decomposition of Corollary 5 for some simple special cases.

- For  $F \in S_{11}$  we have the coefficients  $\gamma_{110} = 2$  and  $\gamma_{111} = 2$ , hence

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \psi_0(F) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_1(F).$$

- For  $F \in S_{21}$  we have the coefficients  $\gamma_{210} = 3$  and  $\gamma_{211} = 3$ , hence

$$F = \frac{1}{3} \psi_0(F) + \frac{1}{3} \psi_1(F).$$

- For  $F \in S_{22}$  we have the coefficients  $\gamma_{220} = 12$ ,  $\gamma_{221} = 8$ , and  $\gamma_{222} = 12$ , hence

$$F = \frac{1}{12} \psi_0(F) + \frac{1}{8} \psi_1(F) + \frac{1}{12} \psi_2(F).$$

- For  $F \in S_{32}$  we have the coefficients  $\gamma_{320} = 20$ ,  $\gamma_{321} = 15$ , and  $\gamma_{322} = 24$ , hence

$$F = \frac{1}{20} \psi_0(F) + \frac{1}{15} \psi_1(F) + \frac{1}{24} \psi_2(F).$$

## 4 Transvection

We consider the map

$$\tilde{\mu}: R_d \times R_e \longrightarrow S_{de}, \quad \tilde{\mu}(f, h) = f(X) h(Y) = f\tilde{h}.$$

(That is, we multiply  $f$  with  $h$  after replacing the indeterminates  $X_1, X_2$  by  $Y_1, Y_2$  in  $h$ , yielding  $\tilde{h} = h(Y)$ .) In characteristic 0 and for  $1 \leq e \leq d$  Corollary 5 gives a unique decomposition of this product as

$$\tilde{\mu}(f, h) = \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{1}{\gamma_{dei}} \varphi_i \circ \tau_i(f, h) \quad \text{with } \tau_i(f, h) = \sigma \circ \Omega^i \circ \tilde{\mu}(f, h) \in R_{d+e-2i}.$$

This definition of the  $\tau_i$  also makes sense for  $d < e$  and in any characteristic:

**Definition** For all  $d, e \geq 0$  the map

$$\tau_i: R_d \times R_e \longrightarrow R_{d+e-2i}, \quad \tau_i(f, h) = \sigma \circ \Omega^i \circ \tilde{\mu}(f, h)$$

is called the  $i^{\text{th}}$  **transvection**, its images  $i^{\text{th}}$  **transvectants**.

If  $2i > d + e$ , then  $\tau_i = 0$ . The following commutative diagram illustrates the definition of the maps  $\tau_i$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_d \times R_e & & & & \\ & \searrow & \tau_i & & \\ \tilde{\mu} \downarrow & & & & \\ S_{de} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^i} & S_{d-i, e-i} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & R_{d+e-2i} \end{array}$$

Clearly the maps  $\tau_i$  are bilinear and relatively  $G$ -equivariant of weight  $-i$  because  $\tilde{\mu}$  is bilinear and equivariant,  $\Omega^i$  is linear and relatively equivariant of weight  $-i$ , and  $\sigma$  is linear and equivariant.

The most elementary special case is

$$\tau_0(f, h) = \sigma \circ \tilde{\mu}(f, h) = \sigma(f\tilde{h}) = fh \in R_{d+e}$$

so the  $0^{\text{th}}$  transvectant of two binary forms is simply their product. For  $i = 1$  we use Lemma 2 and get

$$\Omega(f\tilde{h}) = \partial_1 f \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{h} - \partial_2 f \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{h} = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 f & \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{h} \\ \partial_2 f & \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{h} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\tau_1(f, h) = \sigma(\Omega(f\tilde{h})) = \partial_1 f \partial_2 h - \partial_2 f \partial_1 h = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 f & \partial_1 h \\ \partial_2 f & \partial_2 h \end{vmatrix} \in R_{d+e-2}.$$

For the  $2^{\text{nd}}$  transvectant we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2(f\tilde{h}) &= \Omega(\partial_1 f \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{h}) - \Omega(\partial_2 f \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{h}) \\ &= \partial_1^2 f \tilde{\partial}_2^2 \tilde{h} - \partial_2 \partial_1 f \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{h} - \partial_1 \partial_2 f \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{h} + \partial_2^2 f \tilde{\partial}_1^2 \tilde{h}, \\ \tau_2(f, h) &= \partial_1^2 f \partial_2^2 h - 2 \partial_1 \partial_2 f \partial_1 \partial_2 h + \partial_2^2 f \partial_1^2 h \in R_{d+e-4}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6** The  $0^{th}$ ,  $1^{st}$ , and  $2^{nd}$  transvectants of two binary forms  $f \in R_d$  and  $g \in R_e$  are

- (i)  $\tau_0(f, h) = fh \in R_{d+e}$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau_1(f, h) = \partial_1 f \partial_2 h - \partial_2 f \partial_1 h \in R_{d+e-2}$ , the Jacobian of the pair  $(f, h)$ ,
- (iii)  $\tau_2(f, h) = \partial_1^2 f \partial_2^2 h - 2 \partial_1 \partial_2 f \partial_1 \partial_2 h + \partial_2^2 f \partial_1^2 h \in R_{d+e-4}$ .

**Corollary 6** The  $0^{th}$ ,  $1^{st}$ , and  $2^{nd}$  transvectants of a binary form  $f \in R_d$  with itself are

- (i)  $\tau_0(f, f) = f^2 \in R_{2d}$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau_1(f, f) = 0 \in R_{2d-2}$ ,
- (iii)  $\tau_2(f, f) = 2[\partial_1^2 f \partial_2^2 f - (\partial_1 \partial_2 f)^2] \in R_{2d-4}$  (twice the Hessian).

**Examples** For  $f = a_0 X_1^2 + a_1 X_1 X_2 + a_2 X_2^2$ ,  $h = b_0 X_1^2 + b_1 X_1 X_2 + b_2 X_2^2 \in R_2$  we get

- $\tau_0(f, h) = a_0 b_0 X_1^4 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0) X_1^3 X_2 + (a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0) X_1^2 X_2^2 + (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) X_1 X_2^3 + a_2 b_2 X_2^4 \in R_4$ ,
- $\tau_1(f, h) = (2a_0 X_1 + a_1 X_2)(b_1 X_1 + 2b_2 X_2) - (a_1 X_1 + 2a_2 X_2)(2b_0 X_1 + b_1 X_2) = 2(a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0) X_1^2 + 4(a_0 b_2 - a_2 b_0) X_1 X_2 + 2(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) X_2^2 \in R_2$ ,
- $\tau_2(f, h) = 4(a_0 b_2 + a_2 b_0) - 2a_1 b_1 \in R_0 = k$ ,
- $\tau_2(f, f) = 8a_0 a_2 - 2a_1^2 \in R_0 = k$ .

To explore the symmetry properties of the transvections  $\tau_i$  we consider the involution  $\varepsilon : X_i \longleftrightarrow Y_i$  of the  $k$ -algebra  $S = k[X, Y]$ , that is  $\varepsilon(F(X, Y)) = F(Y, X)$ .

**Lemma 4** For  $\varepsilon$  we have

- (i)  $\Omega^i \circ \varepsilon = (-1)^i \varepsilon \circ \Omega^i$ .
- (ii) The restriction of  $\sigma \circ \varepsilon$  to the subalgebra  $R = k[X]$  is the identity map.
- (iii)  $\sigma \circ \varepsilon = \sigma \circ \varepsilon \circ \sigma$  on  $S$ .

*Proof.* (i) It suffices to prove the assertion for  $i = 1$ . For this we consider the monomial  $F = X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} Y_1^{\beta_1} Y_2^{\beta_2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\xrightarrow{\Omega} \alpha_1 \beta_2 X_1^{\alpha_1-1} X_2^{\alpha_2} Y_1^{\beta_1} Y_2^{\beta_2-1} - \beta_1 \alpha_2 X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2-1} Y_1^{\beta_1-1} Y_2^{\beta_2} \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \alpha_1 \beta_2 Y_1^{\alpha_1-1} Y_2^{\alpha_2} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2-1} - \beta_1 \alpha_2 Y_1^{\alpha_1} Y_2^{\alpha_2-1} X_1^{\beta_1-1} X_2^{\beta_2}, \\ F &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Y_1^{\alpha_1} Y_2^{\alpha_2} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} \\ &\xrightarrow{\Omega} \beta_1 \alpha_2 Y_1^{\alpha_1} Y_2^{\alpha_2-1} X_1^{\beta_1-1} X_2^{\beta_2} - \beta_2 \alpha_1 Y_1^{\alpha_1-1} Y_2^{\alpha_2} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Omega \circ \varepsilon = -\varepsilon \circ \Omega$ .

(ii) For  $f \in R$  we conclude  $\sigma(\varepsilon(f)) = \sigma(f(Y)) = f(X) = f$ .

(iii) Since  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  are  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms it suffices to prove the assertion for the generators  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ .

For  $X_i$  we have  $\sigma(X_i) = X_i$ , and by (ii) both sides evaluate to  $X_i$ .

For  $Y_i$  we have  $\sigma(Y_i) = X_i$ , thus again both sides of the equation evaluate to  $X_i$ .  $\diamond$

**Proposition 7** For  $f \in R_d$ ,  $h \in R_e$ ,

$$\tau_i(h, f) = (-1)^i \tau_i(f, h).$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(h, f) &= \sigma \circ \Omega^i(h\tilde{f}) = \sigma \circ \Omega^i \circ \varepsilon(f\tilde{h}) = (-1)^i \sigma \circ \varepsilon \circ \Omega^i(f\tilde{h}) \\ &= (-1)^i \sigma \circ \varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ \Omega^i(f\tilde{h}) = (-1)^i \sigma \circ \varepsilon(\tau_i(f, h)) \\ &= (-1)^i (\tau_i(f, h)) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\tau_i(f, h) \in R$ .  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] A. Cayley: A second memoir upon quantics. Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London 146 (1856), 101–126.
- [2] D. Hilbert: Über die vollen Invariantensysteme. Math. Ann. 42 (1893), 313–373.
- [3] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan: *Geometric Invariant Theory* (3rd edition). Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1994.
- [4] T. Springer: *Invariant Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 585, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [5] B. Sury: An elementary proof of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion. Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra: Vol. 7 (2000), Article 12. Available at: <http://repository.uwo.edu/ela/vol7/iss1/12>
- [6] J. J. Sylvester: Proof of the hitherto undemonstrated fundamental theorem of invariants. Philosophical Magazine 5 (1878), 178–188.